

Communication from Public

Name: Emily Loughran

Date Submitted: 03/05/2026 09:24 AM

Council File No: 25-1259

Comments for Public Posting: VOTE NO ON CF25-1259 Small Lots with Big Impacts is a misguided attempt to solve the housing crisis by placing density in areas such as very high fire zones where we know density is hazardous. The plan to develop multi unit housing on city owned lots, city owned often because the lot is hazardous and unsalable, puts many in harms way. Developing hillside, high fire zone city owned lots is folly. Example; a lot behind the Hollywood Bowl that has a history of landslides and deemed too risky to develop and was taken over by the city. Now this same lot is going to be developed under this Small Lot Big Impact plan. The plan will place multiple units on this landslide prone parcel—a parcel situated on a street with constrained ingress and egress. Have we not learned anything from the Palisades and the hazards of adding more people to an area with few points of exit?? Furthermore, encouraging people of modest means to live in a very high fire zone is highly questionable.....Insurance in these zones has become unaffordable and impossible to obtain through any other means than Fair Plan with sky high rates and skimpy coverage. A fire that destroys an affluent homeowners home is devastating but they may have the means to bounce back. Wiping out a home for a less affluent person could have even more drastic consequences. We are not doing anyone any favors placing people of modest means in harms way. Yes, this program has the potential to live up to its name. Small Lots with Big Impacts but not good ones!! The only way this bill might be acceptable is to carve out lots that are in very high fire zones as well as lots with a history of disaster.