

Communication from Public

Name: Ann Feeney

Date Submitted: 03/23/2026 12:52 PM

Council File No: 25-1360

Comments for Public Posting: ACCEPT the Sierra Club's appeal; Please Reject the Scattergood EIR. LA demands real clean energy solutions! The project description in the EIR claims this is a green hydrogen project which would blend up to 30% hydrogen with methane. However, the EIR fails to analyze hydrogen at all. The EIR does not analyze the generation, transportation, or storage of hydrogen.

Communication from Public

Name: Claire Broome

Date Submitted: 03/24/2026 05:55 PM

Council File No: 25-1360

Comments for Public Posting: Reject the Scattergood EIR. The claim that this is a "clean" "green" hydrogen project is not supported by data. Blending up to 30% hydrogen with methane perpetuates the use of methane, a fossil gas, and the EIR does not analyze the environmental impacts of the generation, transportation, or storage of hydrogen. This project will burden ratepayers with excessive costs--the retrofit is estimated to cost at least \$800 million (based on 2023 figures before recent serious supply chain issues). This amount also does not include the cost of the hydrogen itself or the cost of the infrastructure to deliver hydrogen. There are also several alternatives to the project, as noted in the EIR, including a grid-scale battery energy storage system with significantly fewer negative impacts to air quality.

Communication from Public

Name: Aurora Rugerio
Date Submitted: 03/24/2026 10:56 PM
Council File No: 25-1360
Comments for Public Posting: Public Comment attached below.

March 24, 2026

Los Angeles City Hall
200 N Spring St
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Submitted online via email

RE: Public Comment for Council file number 25-1360

Dear LA City Council and Staff,

I'm Aurora Rugerio, Policy Advocate with the Central Coast Alliance United for A Sustainable Economy (CAUSE). Since 2023, CAUSE in partnership with the Regenerate CA Campaign has been advocating for the decommissioning of once-through cooling (OTC) power plants across the state, with priority on those sitting in environmental justice communities. South Oxnard is a frontline community that has been advocating for the timely decommissioning of the OTC sitting in our neighborhood. In solidarity with Los Angeles community members. I am writing to the LA City Council urging the Council to accept Sierra Club's appeal and reject the Scattergood Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Los Angeles communities are against this project coming to their neighborhoods. In past meetings it has been raised as a concern that the Scattergood EIR is faulty. The report fails to acknowledge the project's danger, contamination and high costs it will bring to the area. The report also fails to analyze the generation, transportation, or storage of hydrogen. Failing to look at the impact of the project from production to end use makes the EIR's analysis incomplete.

Scattergood was mandated to retire by 2029, given its use of ocean water for OTC technology. Gas retirement is long overdue, the baseline of this project should be focused on the plant's decommissioning rather than continued operations. Building on existing gas infrastructure that has historically negatively impacted low-income communities of color will continue the cycle of harm to frontline communities. This type of project has not been done before at this scale, the technology is risky and inadequately addressed in the EIR. LA City Council, I urge you to listen to your constituents. Accept Sierra Club's appeal and reject the Scattergood Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

Sincerely,

Aurora Rugerio