



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-1360

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Thu, Jul 30, 2026 at 12:02 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, charlene.marvista@gmail.com

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Mar Vista

Name: Charlene Samiley

Email: charlene.marvista@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(10) Nay(0) Abstain(3) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 04/23/2026

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 07/30/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-1360

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC) requests that the City Council find the CEQA Environmental Impact Report for the Scattergood Modernization Project to be inadequate. Further, we request that the City Council send the report back to LADWP for correction of the deficiencies identified in the appeal letter dated November 14, 2025, signed by six environmental and environmental justice organizations. Among other deficiencies, the CEQA Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Scattergood Modernization Project (SMP):

- Does not measure cumulative impacts from comprehensive plans for in-basin hydrogen combustion, storage and transportation.
- Does not analyze air quality and

greenhouse gas impacts thoroughly, including leakage rates and increased NOx emissions from the fuel blend for SMP. • Does not evaluate regional water supply impacts related to hydrogen power for the electricity grid. • Does not take into account the possibility that “green hydrogen” will never be available in significant quantities and that SMP will run on fossil fuel indefinitely. • Does not realistically consider alternatives including battery storage, demand response, and distributed energy resources. For the above reasons, the MVCC strongly urges the City Council to accept the appeal from environmental and environmental justice organizations and to send the Scattergood Modernization EIR back to LADWP for correction of deficiencies. SMP should not move forward without an updated EIR and a thorough review of the project to evaluate its safety and necessity.