



25-1360_pc_04-03-2026

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 6, 2026 at 1:05 PM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Cesar del Peral <cesar_del_peral@yahoo.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 14:49:39 -0700

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood "green hydrogen" project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community.

The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood's existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs.

Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Nancee L." <nancylcalifg@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, +lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, +Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, +councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, +keith.banks@lacity.org, +contactCD4@lacity.org, +mashael.majid@lacity.org, +councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, +Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, +councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, +lamont.cobb@lacity.org, +councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, +marie.rumsey@lacity.org, +sean.starkey@lacity.org, +councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, +councilmember.price@lacity.org, +cd10@lacity.org, +andrew.westall@lacity.org, +councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, +sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, +councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, +steve.diaz@lacity.org, +councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, +Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, +anissa.raja@lacity.org, +councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 14:03:23 -0700

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood "green hydrogen" project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community. The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood's existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs. Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Michael Chaskes <chaskes1@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 15:24:37 -0400

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood "green hydrogen" project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community.

The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood's existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs.

Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention.

Michael Chaskes
Los Angeles, 90064

Sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: B Milliken <bbmvenice@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org, Traci PARK <councilmember.park@lacity.org>

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org, "Barbara B. Milliken" <bbmvenice@gmail.com>

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 09:55:17 -0700

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood "green hydrogen" project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community. The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood's existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs. Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention. Barbara Milliken

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Karen Hart <hartofhearing@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, +lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, +Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, +councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, +keith.banks@lacity.org, +contactCD4@lacity.org, +mashael.majid@lacity.org, +councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, +Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, +councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, +lamont.cobb@lacity.org, +councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, +marie.rumsey@lacity.org, +sean.starkey@lacity.org, +councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, +councilmember.price@lacity.org, +cd10@lacity.org, +andrew.westall@lacity.org, +councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, +sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, +councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, +steve.diaz@lacity.org, +councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, +Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, +anissa.raja@lacity.org, +councilmember.Lee@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 09:30:48 -0700

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood "green hydrogen" project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community. The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood's existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs. Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: JORDAN GRIMALDI <jfgrimaldi@g.ucla.edu>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, +lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, +Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, +councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, +keith.banks@lacity.org, +contactCD4@lacity.org, +mashael.majid@lacity.org, +councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, +Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, +councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, +lamont.cobb@lacity.org, +councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, +marie.rumsey@lacity.org, +sean.starkey@lacity.org, +councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, +councilmember.price@lacity.org, +cd10@lacity.org, +andrew.westall@lacity.org, +councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, +sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, +councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, +steve.diaz@lacity.org, +councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, +Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, +anissa.raja@lacity.org, +councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 09:24:34 -0700

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR
City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood “green hydrogen” project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community. The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood’s existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs. Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.
Thank you for your attention.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: ruth goldway <ruthgoldway@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 12:11:35 -0400

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood “green hydrogen” project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community. The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood’s existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs. Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.
Thank you for your attention.

Sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: z zim <zzimmerman130@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, +lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, +Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, +councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, +keith.banks@lacity.org, +contactCD4@lacity.org, +mashael.majid@lacity.org, +councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, +Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, +councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, +lamont.cobb@lacity.org, +councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, +marie.rumsey@lacity.org, +sean.starkey@lacity.org, +councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, +councilmember.price@lacity.org, +cd10@lacity.org, +andrew.westall@lacity.org, +councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, +sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, +councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, +steve.diaz@lacity.org, +councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, +Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, +anissa.raja@lacity.org, +councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 11:20:14 -0400

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR
City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood “green hydrogen” project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community. The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood’s existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs. Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention. **Zoey Zimmerman, M.F.A.**

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Leah Pressman <leahpressman@sbcglobal.net>

To:

Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Fri, 3 Apr 2026 14:34:08 +0000 (UTC)

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood “green hydrogen” project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR.

This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community. The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood’s existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. There is a promise of use of green hydrogen, but is there any guarantee that other highly polluting forms of hydrogen won't be used?

The EIR also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs. Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention.

9 attachments

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
10K

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
11K

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
11K

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
9K

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
11K

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
11K

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
8K

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
11K

 **Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml**
11K