



25-1360_pc_04-05-2026

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 6, 2026 at 1:07 PM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: DIANE WALLACE <dmbarkwall1@me.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Sun, 5 Apr 2026 22:02:22 -0700

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I live near the Scattergood plant. I am urging the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood "green hydrogen" project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community.

The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood's existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs.

Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention.

Diane Wallace

Sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Rose Phillips <rosecphillips@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, +lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, +Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, +councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, +keith.banks@lacity.org, +contactCD4@lacity.org, +mashael.majid@lacity.org, +councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, +Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, +councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, +lamont.cobb@lacity.org, +councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, +marie.rumsey@lacity.org, +sean.starkey@lacity.org, +councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, +councilmember.price@lacity.org, +cd10@lacity.org, +andrew.westall@lacity.org, +councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, +sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, +councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, +steve.diaz@lacity.org, +councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, +Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, +anissa.raja@lacity.org, +councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Sun, 5 Apr 2026 20:45:52 -0700

Subject: Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 25-1360

Dear Councilmembers,

I am writing to urge the LA City Council to restore the appeal for the Scattergood “green hydrogen” project to the agenda and reject the inadequate EIR. This project is dangerous, expensive, polluting, and opposed by the community. The EIR fails to meet California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. It relies on an outdated baseline and ignores that Scattergood’s existing once-through cooling units must close by 2029. It also omits the full impacts of hydrogen production and transportation, including water use, energy demand, and infrastructure needs. Investing in this risky project prolongs reliance on fossil fuels when funds should go toward truly clean, non-combustion technologies that help LA meet its 2035 clean energy goals. Los Angeles is already in extreme nonattainment for ozone, and NOx emissions from hydrogen combustion will worsen air quality and disproportionately harm frontline communities. Please restore the appeal to the agenda and vote to reject this incomplete EIR. Our city must prioritize environmental justice, public health, and real clean energy alternatives.

Thank you for your attention.

2 attachments



Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml
8K



Put the Scattergood Appeal Back on the Agenda and Reject the EIR.eml
11K