



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 25-1392

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Mon, Sep 7, 2026 at 6:35 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, kay.hartman@palmsnc.la

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Palms

Name: Kay Hartman

Email: kay.hartman@palmsnc.la

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(9) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 07/01/2026

Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information

Date: 09/08/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 25-1392

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: 🚫🚫🚫🚫🚫🚫🚫🚫 The Palms Neighborhood Council opposes the findings of the Board of Police Commissioners report regarding the LAPD's Air Support Division (ASD) and its attempts to justify an \$18 million increase in expenditure to purchase additional helicopters. We join in absolute agreement with the Los Feliz Neighborhood Council's CIS in opposition to the council file. To summarize it: • The findings of this self-report are in direct contradiction with the LA City Controller's audit of the LAPD ASD • The study used to justify the success of the LAPD ASD program is statistically self-fulfilling and, as a result, misleading. • "The carbon emissions of LAPD ASD alone in a

single year equate to over 19 million miles driven by gas-powered passenger cars.” Additionally, the Police commissioner failed to carry out the City Council's exact request to review the ASD deployment model. Rather than sincerely imagine an LAPD with less reliance on the ASD program, the commissioner reverted to “business-as-usual” politics. Furthermore, it is the position of the Palms Neighborhood Council that if 6 of the current 16 helicopters are operationally unfit for the needs of the LAPD, rather than depleting the General Fund of the \$18 million retrofit (the cost of replacing just two of the 6), \$820 per flight-hour in maintenance, and the \$500,000 ‘overhaul’ required for these aging rotorcrafts, the LAPD should explore the unseen and perhaps intentionally obscured 3rd option: retire the 6 helicopters, resell them for the purported \$300,000/each, and replenish the city’s General Fund.



Counsel File 25-1392 (Oppose).pdf

61K

Counsel File 25-1392

Oppose



The Palms Neighborhood Council opposes the findings of the Board of Police Commissioners report regarding the LAPD's Air Support Division (ASD) and its attempts to justify an \$18 million increase in expenditure to purchase additional helicopters. We join in absolute agreement with the [Los Feliz Neighborhood Council's CIS](#) in opposition to the council file. To summarize it:

- The findings of this self-report are in direct contradiction with the [LA City Controller's audit](#) of the LAPD ASD
- The study used to justify the success of the LAPD ASD program is statistically self-fulfilling and, as a result, misleading.
- "The carbon emissions of LAPD ASD alone in a single year equate to over 19 million miles driven by gas-powered passenger cars."

Additionally, the Police commissioner failed to carry out the City Council's exact request to review the ASD deployment model. Rather than sincerely imagine an LAPD with less reliance on the ASD program, the commissioner reverted to "business-as-usual" politics.

Furthermore, it is the position of the Palms Neighborhood Council that if 6 of the current 16 helicopters are operationally unfit for the needs of the LAPD, rather than depleting the General Fund of the \$18 million retrofit (the cost of replacing just two of the 6), \$820 per flight-hour in maintenance, and the \$500,000 'overhaul' required for these aging rotorcrafts, the LAPD should explore the unseen and perhaps intentionally obscured 3rd option: retire the 6 helicopters, resell them for the purported \$300,000/each, and replenish the city's General Fund.