

Communication from Public

Name: John Gleeson

Date Submitted: 01/08/2026 08:29 PM

Council File No: 25-1486

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember Blumenfield and Members of the PLUM Committee: In addition to the reasons below which I am in full agreement with, this project should be disqualified from fast tracking under AB 2011 for these 2 very clearly reasons clearly stated in the language of the bill. First off, the bill very clearly states that it should not be used in a residential neighborhood. Despot amendments that make a location like this being proposed qualify a a commercial corridor, it clearly and without argue is in fact a residential neighborhood surrounded by R1 properties. The 0.1 % commercial zone by no means makes this a residential corridor by nature. Second of all, the language of AB 2011 clearly states that this bill should be implemented in locations that residential construction would not normally be permitted. Ie in commercial corridors PRIMARILY consisting of parking lots, office buildings and strip malls. Again this is not the case for this proposal. The property is currently zoned A1-1XL. It US CURRENTLY ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL HOME CONSTRUCTION! These developers should submit a plan under the CURRENT ZONING REQUIREMENTS AND REGULATIONS FOR AG1 construction due to the sensitive nature of the area. I urge you to place Council File 25-1486 onto the next available PLUM Committee agenda. On Wednes., Jan. 7, the executive director of Coalition for Valley Neighborhoods, Gina K. Thornburg, spoke with Candy Rosales, the legislative assistant of the Planning and Land Use Management Committee. She informed the Coalition that this council file would not appear on the next agenda of the PLUM Committee and that the committee meets on the second and fourth Tuesdays of the month. Given the urgency surrounding this proposed development, I am dismayed that the motion has not been agendized yet. As a local resident deeply concerned about the proposal to build almost 400 dwellings on the north area of the historically, environmentally, and culturally significant Woodland Hills Country Club golf course, I support the Coalition's advocacy on this matter and request that you move this motion to the full LA City Council this month, January 2026. The public deserves an opportunity to hear our elected officials' thoughts on the requests for report-backs from the Department of City Planning and the Office of the City Attorney. We deserve to voice our concerns over this

development, which is proposed on a property situated on a mountainslope in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone within the Santa Monica Mountains Zone and the Mulholland Scenic Parkway Specific Plan outer corridor. This proposed development should be subjected to a complete environmental analysis and should not be fast-tracked, as the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy noted in its recent letter to the City of LA. Council File 25-1486 is only a first step in opening up avenues for transparent public input and discourse. Please agendaize it in committee and send it to the full City Council expeditiously, before the end of this calendar month. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, John M Gleeson

Communication from Public

Name: Gina K. Thornburg, PhD

Date Submitted: 01/08/2026 05:12 PM

Council File No: 25-1486

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember Blumenfield and Members of the PLUM Committee: I urge you to place Council File 25-1486 onto the next available PLUM Committee agenda. On Wednes., Jan. 7, I spoke with Candy Rosales, the legislative assistant of the Planning and Land Use Management Committee. She informed me that this council file will not appear on the next agenda of the PLUM Committee and that the committee meets on the second and fourth Tuesdays of the month. She informed me that the motion is not going to be discussed on the second Tuesday this month. On behalf of Coalition for Valley Neighborhoods, an unincorporated association that successfully won a 5% low-income set-aside for the Promenade 2035 Plan project, as well as public-health protections for the development proposed at 21515 W. Vanowen, I request that you move this motion to the full LA City Council this month, January 2026. The public deserves an opportunity to hear our elected officials' thoughts on the requests for report-backs from the Department of City Planning and the Office of the City Attorney. We deserve to voice our concerns over the development proposed on a property situated on a mountainslope in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone within the Santa Monica Mountains Zone and the Mulholland Scenic Parkway Specific Plan outdoor corridor. Mr. Blumenfield, you have my contact details. Please reach out to me speedily and soon. Thank you committee members for your service to this city. Respectfully submitted, Gina K. Thornburg, PhD

Communication from Public

Name: Gina K. Thornburg, PhD

Date Submitted: 01/08/2026 05:45 PM

Council File No: 25-1486

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember Blumenfield and Members of the PLUM Committee: I urge you to place Council File 25-1486 onto the next available PLUM Committee agenda and get it to the full LA City Council this month. I meant to say "outer corridor." The Woodland Hills Country Club golf course is situated within the outer corridor of the Mulholland Scenic Parkway Specific Plan.
Sincerely, Gina K. Thornburg, PhD

Communication from Public

Name: Megan Jacoby

Date Submitted: 01/08/2026 06:42 PM

Council File No: 25-1486

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember Blumenfield and Members of the PLUM Committee: I urge you to place Council File 25-1486 onto the next available PLUM Committee agenda. On Wednesday., Jan. 7, the executive director of Coalition for Valley Neighborhoods, Gina K. Thornburg, spoke with Candy Rosales, the legislative assistant of the Planning and Land Use Management Committee. She informed the Coalition that this council file would not appear on the next agenda of the PLUM Committee and that the committee meets on the second and fourth Tuesdays of the month. Given the urgency surrounding this proposed development, I am dismayed that the motion has not been agendized yet. As a local resident deeply concerned about the proposal to build almost 400 dwellings on the north area of the historically, environmentally, and culturally significant Woodland Hills Country Club golf course, I support the Coalition's advocacy on this matter and request that you move this motion to the full LA City Council this month, January 2026. The public deserves an opportunity to hear our elected officials' thoughts on the requests for report-backs from the Department of City Planning and the Office of the City Attorney. We deserve to voice our concerns over this development, which is proposed on a property situated on a mountain slope in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone within the Santa Monica Mountains Zone and the Mulholland Scenic Parkway Specific Plan outer corridor. This proposed development should be subjected to a complete environmental analysis and should not be fast-tracked, as the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy noted in its recent letter to the City of LA. Council File 25-1486 is only a first step in opening up avenues for transparent public input and discourse. Please agendize it in committee and send it to the full City Council expeditiously, before the end of this calendar month. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Megan Jacoby

Communication from Public

Name: Glenys Norwood

Date Submitted: 01/08/2026 07:07 PM

Council File No: 25-1486

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember Blumenfield and Members of the PLUM Committee: I urge you to place Council File 25-1486 onto the next available PLUM Committee agenda. On Wednes., Jan. 7, the executive director of Coalition for Valley Neighborhoods, Gina K. Thornburg, spoke with Candy Rosales, the legislative assistant of the Planning and Land Use Management Committee. She informed the Coalition that this council file would not appear on the next agenda of the PLUM Committee and that the committee meets on the second and fourth Tuesdays of the month. Given the urgency surrounding this proposed development, I am dismayed that the motion has not been agendized yet. As a local resident deeply concerned about the proposal to build almost 400 dwellings on the north area of the historically, environmentally, and culturally significant Woodland Hills Country Club golf course, I support the Coalition's advocacy on this matter and request that you move this motion to the full LA City Council this month, January 2026. The public deserves an opportunity to hear our elected officials' thoughts on the requests for report-backs from the Department of City Planning and the Office of the City Attorney. We deserve to voice our concerns over this development, which is proposed on a property situated on a mountainslope in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone within the Santa Monica Mountains Zone and the Mulholland Scenic Parkway Specific Plan outer corridor. This proposed development should be subjected to a complete environmental analysis and should NOT be fast-tracked, as the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy noted in its recent letter to the City of LA. Council File 25-1486 is only a first step in opening up avenues for transparent public input and discourse. Please agendize it in committee and send it to the full City Council expeditiously, before the end of this calendar month. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Glenys Norwood

Communication from Public

Name: Charles Norwood
Date Submitted: 01/08/2026 07:10 PM
Council File No: 25-1486

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmember Blumenfield and Members of the PLUM Committee: I urge you to place Council File 25-1486 onto the next available PLUM Committee agenda. On Wednes., Jan. 7, the executive director of Coalition for Valley Neighborhoods, Gina K. Thornburg, spoke with Candy Rosales, the legislative assistant of the Planning and Land Use Management Committee. She informed the Coalition that this council file would not appear on the next agenda of the PLUM Committee and that the committee meets on the second and fourth Tuesdays of the month. Given the urgency surrounding this proposed development, I am dismayed that the motion has not been agendized yet. As a local resident deeply concerned about the proposal to build almost 400 dwellings on the north area of the historically, environmentally, and culturally significant Woodland Hills Country Club golf course, I support the Coalition's advocacy on this matter and request that you move this motion to the full LA City Council this month, January 2026. The public deserves an opportunity to hear our elected officials' thoughts on the requests for report-backs from the Department of City Planning and the Office of the City Attorney. We deserve to voice our concerns over this development, which is proposed on a property situated on a mountainslope in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone within the Santa Monica Mountains Zone and the Mulholland Scenic Parkway Specific Plan outer corridor. This proposed development should be subjected to a complete environmental analysis and should not be fast-tracked, as the Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy noted in its recent letter to the City of LA. Council File 25-1486 is only a first step in opening up avenues for transparent public input and discourse. Please agendize it in committee and send it to the full City Council expeditiously, before the end of this calendar month. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sincerely, Charles Norwood