

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Lisa

**Date Submitted:** 01/27/2026 01:25 PM

**Council File No:** 25-1486

**Comments for Public Posting:** While I am unable to attend this meeting, I want to express my opposition to the proposed development on the Woodland Hills Country Club. There are multiple reasons for this request for approval to be rejected and these have been articulated by others in greater detail. There is concern for fire safety, wildlife protection, green space, wetlands, historical nature of the Country Club and the ludicrous fact that Canoga Avenue is somehow able to qualify as a commercial corridor. The project should not be allowed to proceed.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Favian Guillen  
**Date Submitted:** 01/27/2026 06:09 PM  
**Council File No:** 25-1486  
**Comments for Public Posting:** Comments Attached.



# WESTERN STATES REGIONAL COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS



**Favian Guillen**

Executive Secretary-Treasurer

59 Spokane, WA

82 Great Falls, MT

96 NW Millwrights

196 Pile Drivers, WA

206 Seattle, WA

213 Los Angeles, CA

323 So. Los Angeles, CA

360 So. Puget Sound, WA

425 No. Puget Sound, WA

503 Portland, OR

541 Eugene, OR

555 Colorado

562 Long Beach, CA

619 San Diego, CA

635 Boise, ID

661 Sylmar, CA

714 Buena Park, CA

721 Whittier, CA

743 Bakersfield, CA

801 Utah

805 Camarillo, CA

808 Idaho Falls, ID

909 Ontario, CA

951 Riverside, CA

971 Reno, NV

1136 Kettle Falls, WA

1243 Fairbanks, AK

1281 Anchorage, AK

1319 New Mexico

1607 Millwrights

1912 Arizona

1977 Las Vegas, NV

2520 Pile Drivers & Divers, AK

2761 McCleary, WA

2851 La Grande, OR

2949 Roseburg, OR

January 27, 2026

Los Angeles City Council  
200 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Council President and City Council Members,

I am writing on behalf of the Western States Regional Council of Carpenters (WSRCC), which proudly represents over 45,000 hardworking tradespeople across Southern California, to express our concern regarding the proposed motion seeking an analysis of the application of AB 2011 to projects located along “commercial corridors.” State law already provides a clear and specific definition of “commercial corridors,” and the City’s AB 2011 implementation memo confirms that this definition is narrow and administered through a ministerial standard.

While we respect the City’s interest in seeking clarity, any action that undermines the intent of AB 2011, mainly as it relates to the state-defined scope of “commercial corridors” risks undermining both the creation of more housing units and good paying construction jobs.

The City of Los Angeles is in a severe housing crisis. Decades of undersupply have driven up rents and contributed to rising homelessness. When combined with the impacts of the Palisade wildfires, the scale of the challenge is even greater, requiring decades of housing construction and a highly skilled construction workforce to overcome this task.

To meet this moment, Los Angeles needs more housing built faster, not new barriers or uncertainty. That growth must be paired with strong labor standards, including a residential construction worker minimum wage, to protect workers and ensure the industry can recruit and retain the workforce necessary to build the housing Angelenos desperately need.

As sponsors of AB 2011, our intent was clear: to reduce entitlement barriers, strengthen labor standards, and maximize underutilized land by transforming it into housing. We respectfully ask that the City engage with us on matters related to AB 2011 and avoid actions that would undermine the state-defined scope of “commercial corridors.”

Sincerely,

Favian Guillen  
WSRCC Executive Secretary-Treasurer