

Communication from Public

Name: Jay Bacon

Date Submitted: 04/11/2026 08:28 AM

Council File No: 25-1518

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to oppose the proposed demolition of the Barry Building, Historic-Cultural Monument (HCM) #887, and ask that you grant Angelenos for Historic Preservation's appeal. If the appeal is denied, this demolition would set a dangerous and harmful precedent for the City's historic preservation program and threaten the future of more than 1,300 designated HCM's. The project before your consideration will demolish a designated HCM solely to clear the property for a vacant dirt lot, with no replacement project proposed. Such action incentivizes property owners of other HCMs to pursue similar outcomes, as well as encouraging and rewarding intentional demolition by neglect of a designated historic place. Should the City of Los Angeles approve the proposed demolition of this HCM without a replacement project, it will severely erode protections upheld by the City's long-held historic preservation program, and result in a clear circumvention and piecemeal approach of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). For these reasons, I ask you to: Reverse the demolition approval (LOD). Rescind the certification of the EIR, the Statement of Overriding Considerations, and the Mitigation Monitoring Program. Deny the demolition permit application.

Communication from Public

Name: Wendy-Sue Rosen

Date Submitted: 04/11/2026 02:47 AM

Council File No: 25-1518

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to oppose the proposed demolition of the Barry Building, Historic-Cultural Monument (HCM) #887, and ask that you grant Angelenos for Historic Preservation's appeal. If the appeal is denied, this demolition would set a dangerous and harmful precedent for the City's historic preservation program and threaten the future of more than 1,300 designated HCM's. The project before your consideration will demolish a designated HCM solely to clear the property for a vacant dirt lot, with no replacement project proposed. Such action incentivizes property owners of other HCMs to pursue similar outcomes, as well as encouraging and rewarding intentional demolition by neglect of a designated historic place. Should the City of Los Angeles approve the proposed demolition of this HCM without a replacement project, it will severely erode protections upheld by the City's long-held historic preservation program, and result in a clear circumvention and piecemeal approach of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). For these reasons, I ask you to: Reverse the demolition approval (LOD). Rescind the certification of the EIR, the Statement of Overriding Considerations, and the Mitigation Monitoring Program. Deny the demolition permit application.