

Communication from Public

Name: Bob Blue

Date Submitted: 03/13/2026 09:07 PM

Council File No: 25-1518

Comments for Public Posting: Please upload attached PDF file titled "2026-03-13_CF 25-1518, Email Response from City Clerk, PLUM Legislative Assistant Re Expiration of Time.pdf" which documents the email response from the Legislative Clerk regarding an inquiry about the timing of recent actions taken by the City Council and other issues.

Re: Barry Building Demolition Project ... IMPORTANT and TIME SENSITIVE ... I am seeking clarification on Conflicting Records and Procedural Integrity (CF 25-1518) ... Please see email for details ...

From Candy Rosales <candy.rosales@lacity.org>

Date Fri 3/13/2026 4:14 PM

To Ziggy Kruse <ziggykruse2005@yahoo.com>

Cc cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>; kenneth.fong@lacity.org <kenneth.fong@lacity.org>; ken.bernstein@lacity.org <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>; kathryn.phelan@lacity.org <kathryn.phelan@lacity.org>; Milena Zasadzien <milena.zasadzien@lacity.org>; Jason McCrea <jason.mccrea@lacity.org>; marqueece.harris-dawson@lacity.org <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>; Bob Blue <bob.blue@live.com>

Good afternoon Ms. Kruse,

Thank you for your inquiry regarding Council file No. 25-1518. Please find the responses to your questions below:

1. **Current Status:** Is the matter currently referred back to the PLUM Committee, or has a final Council determination been made? [The matter has been referred to the PLUM Committee.](#)
2. **Record Correction:** If the "Council action final" status is an administrative error, when will the record be corrected to reflect the referral? [The "Council action final" is not an administrative error. Please open the PDF document next to "Council action final" to read the following: ADOPTED TO REFER ITEM TO PLANNING AND LAND USE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE](#)
3. **Time Extensions:** Has the applicant provided a written time extension for this file? If so, please provide the date and a copy of that agreement. [The applicant has not provided a written time extension for the file.](#)
4. **File Continuity:** Will this referral back to the PLUM Committee initiate a new Council File, or will the current file (25-1518) remain intact and serve as the complete administrative record? [The referral to the PLUM Committee will not initiate a new Council file.](#)
5. **Basis for Referral:** If the matter was indeed referred back to PLUM after the committee's initial denial on February 24, what was the legal and procedural basis for this second referral? [This question falls outside of the purview of the City Clerk's Office.](#)

Best regards,



Candy Rosales | Legislative Assistant
City of Los Angeles | Office of the City Clerk
Email: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org
Connect with the Clerk:



SUBSCRIBE AND RECEIVE EMAIL NOTIFICATIONS FOR CITY COUNCIL, COMMITTEE AND PUBLIC MEETINGS . CLICK [HERE](#).

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and, upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities.

On Thu, Mar 12, 2026 at 4:53 PM Ziggy Kruse <ziggykruse2005@yahoo.com> wrote:

Dear Ms. Wolcott, et al:

I am writing to request immediate clarification regarding significant discrepancies in the administrative record for Council File 25-1518 (11973-11975 West San Vicente Blvd / Barry Building).

The LACityClerk Connect "File Activities" log currently lists the status for 03/10/2026 as "Council action **final**". However, the official "Council Action" document linked to that entry states that on March 6, 2026, the Council's official action was to:

"ADOPTED TO REFER ITEM TO PLANNING AND LAND USE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE"

This creates a serious procedural contradiction. If the Council voted to refer the matter back to the PLUM Committee on March 6, the file should not be marked as "final" on March 10.

Furthermore, I am requesting information regarding any time extensions that may have been agreed to, either openly or behind the scenes, by the applicant for this project. Please clarify if the applicant has granted the City an extension of time to act on this appeal, and if so, what the new expiration date is for Council action.

As this matter involves a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) appeal, I am requesting formal clarification on the following:

1. **Current Status:** Is the matter currently referred back to the PLUM Committee, or has a final Council determination been made?
2. **Record Correction:** If the "Council action final" status is an administrative error, when will the record be corrected to reflect the referral?
3. **Time Extensions:** Has the applicant provided a written time extension for this file? If so, please provide the date and a

copy of that agreement.

4. **File Continuity:** Will this referral back to the PLUM Committee initiate a new Council File, or will the current file (25-1518) remain intact and serve as the complete administrative record?
5. **Basis for Referral:** If the matter was indeed referred back to PLUM after the committee's initial denial on February 24, what was the legal and procedural basis for this second referral?

Because the CEQA statutory clock is continuously running, any delay in clarifying the official status of this case directly impacts the ability of the public and interested parties to determine the window for filing potential legal actions. To ensure that no party is procedurally disadvantaged, we request a formal response to these inquiries by the close of business tomorrow, Friday, March 13, 2026.

Sincerely,
Ziggy Kruse Blue

Communication from Public

Name: Channel Law Group, LLP - Appellant Representative
Date Submitted: 03/13/2026 06:00 PM
Council File No: 25-1518
Comments for Public Posting: Please see the attached letter.

Channel Law Group, LLP

8383 Wilshire Blvd.
Suite 750
Beverly Hills, CA 90211

Phone: (310) 347-0050
Fax: (323) 723-3960
www.channellawgroup.com

JULIAN K. QUATTLEBAUM, III
JAMIE T. HALL *
CHARLES J. McLURKIN
GREGORY T. WITTMANN

Writer's Direct Line: (310) 982-1760
jamie.hall@channellawgroup.com

*ALSO Admitted in Texas

March 13, 2026

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND UPLOAD

Councilmember Bob Blumenfield, Chair
Planning and Land Use Management Committee
200 N Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org

**Re: Formal Notice: Brown Act Cure and Correct Expired Jurisdiction
Deadlines; 11973-11975 West San Vicente Blvd. (Demolition of the Barry
Building), Council File No. 25-1518, ENV-2019-6645-EIR ("Project");
Demand to Cease and Desist Under Govt. Code § 54960.2**

Dear Chair Blumenfield:

This firm represents Angelenos for Historic Preservation ("Appellant") in Council File No. 25-1518 regarding the Barry Building (HCM #887). This letter follows the Cure and Correct demand submitted by this office on March 3, 2026, regarding significant procedural defects during the Planning and Land Use Management ("PLUM") Committee meeting of February 24, 2026.

As previously stated, the February 24 proceedings suffered from a loss of quorum and disparate treatment of speakers, necessitating a cure under Government Code § 54960.1.

We wish to highlight a critical jurisdictional development: the "Last Day to Act" on this matter was March 6, 2026. Because the City failed to hold a valid, Brown Act-compliant hearing and render a decision prior to the expiration of this deadline, the Committee and the Council are now legally barred from taking further action.

We hereby request and put the Committee on notice of the following:

- 1. Request for Documentation:** Please provide a copy of the signed time extension letter executed by the applicant that purports to extend the City's jurisdiction

beyond the March 6, 2026 deadline. If no such signed extension exists, we request formal confirmation that the City Council's jurisdiction has lapsed.

2. **Jurisdictional Bar:** Any attempt to cure the February 24 violation by holding a new hearing at this stage, without a valid, signed extension, would be a legal nullity.
3. **Finality of Administrative Action:** Without a valid extension, the Council's failure to act by March 6 renders the November 18, 2025, BBSC decision the effective final administrative action.

We request that this letter and the requested documentation be immediately added to the administrative record for Council File 25-1518.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter. I may be contacted at jamie.hall@channellawgroup.com if you have any questions, comments or concerns.

Sincerely,



Jamie T. Hall

Cc: Hydee Feldstein Soto, City Attorney (Hydee.feldsteinsoto@lacity.org)
Kenneth Fong, Assistant City Attorney (Kenneth.fong@lacity.org)
Kathryn Phelan, Deputy City Attorney (Kathryn.phelan@lacity.org)
Patrice Lattimore, City Clerk (Patrice.Lattimore@lacity.org, clerk.cps@lacity.org)
Candy Rosales, Legislative Assistant (Candy.rosales@lacity.org)