



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 26-0002-S8

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Tue, Mar 17, 2026 at 4:24 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: hkatchen@shermanoaksnc.org, Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, n3403@lapd.online

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Sherman Oaks

Name: Howard Katchen

Email: hkatchen@shermanoaksnc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(11) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 03/09/2026

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 03/17/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, Board of Police Commissioners

Council File Number: 26-0002-S8

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council supports motion made by LA City Council on February 26, 2026 to include Support for State Assembly Bill (AB) 1633 in its 2025-2026 State Legislative Program Support. The legislation will create the Private Detention Facility Tax Law and thus curb corporate profiteering from ICE-funded immigration detention centers in the state of California. The privatization of prisons in the United States has devastating effects on incarceration rates, sentence lengths, and the costs of imprisonment, which becomes a taxpayer burden. ICE has been given a budget of \$45 Billion dollars to fund the building of new immigration detention centers that will house both adults and children.

Privately operated companies have been an important partner for ICE as the US government carries out its immigration agenda. ICE contracts with corporations to build and operate these facilities and to transport undocumented individuals who are in custody. If these private corporations did not exist, it would be difficult for the federal government to execute its plans. The two largest private prison corporations reported significant profits during the recent earnings calls. These types of operations have been highly criticized for keeping detainees longer than reasonable in efforts to increase their profits.