

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 01/21/2026 03:44 PM

**Council File No:** 26-0046

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am a resident of Sherman Oaks in the San Fernando Valley and a full-time transit user. I do not drive, and have no plans to start doing so anytime soon, so the Sepulveda Transit Corridor project would have a substantial positive benefit to me. That said, I come to you today with a few words of caution regarding Metro Staff's recommendation for a modified LPA. This feels like a decision made to appease the Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association and Neighborhood Council, rather than because this modified alternative is actually what the people want or provides the best service. In public comments during the environmental review process, only 3% of people spoke in favor of alternative 6, so why are we using alternative 6's routing in the Valley? In my view, this modified LPA has two major issues: 1) it would omit a station at Sherman Way, which is a major east/west transit route in the Valley, forcing transit-riders from Reseda and Winnetka to make unnecessary, likely lengthy transfers and 2) it would duplicate service provided by the in-progress East San Fernando Valley line. In a public transit poor region like the San Fernando Valley we should NOT be duplicating service like this.