

Communication from Public

Name: Mike Royce

Date Submitted: 04/01/2026 03:07 PM

Council File No: 26-0088

Comments for Public Posting: I was a big supporter of Measure ULA, but I am also a big believer in our need for more multi-family apartment buildings, and it has been made clear that Measure ULA is inhibiting our ability to build these. Please help reform this measure so it works that way it should: for the people! Thank you, Mike Royce CD 5

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/01/2026 03:30 PM

Council File No: 26-0088

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of the Ad Hoc Committee's work to reform Measure ULA and to urge you to advance reforms that would fix the measure's most damaging design flaws, which are hurting housing production and the city's finances. Measure ULA was passed with a goal I share: funding affordable housing and reducing homelessness in our city. But the evidence is now clear that the tax as currently designed is working against that goal. Academic research from UCLA, RAND, Harvard, and UC Irvine has documented a significant decline in real estate transactions, a sharp drop in new multifamily housing construction, and a reduction in property tax revenues that fund our schools and public services. I support reforming — not repealing — Measure ULA. Targeted fixes can preserve much of the measure's revenues and equity commitments while dramatically reducing the harm it is causing. Some are trying to frame your work as a conflict between supporting low-income tenants and aiding homebuilders, but that's a false choice. Well-designed reform can and will further both goals, as well as support our workforce and help stabilize the city's finances. Los Angeles is already far behind on its state-mandated housing goals. The city needs every policy tool working in the same direction. I urge the committee to move swiftly on meaningful reform and to advance recommendations that align Measure ULA with the housing future Los Angeles voters intended. Thank you for your time and your service to our city. Myra Jody Whitehouse jodywhitehouse@gmail.com 1510 S Point View St Los Angeles, California 90035

Communication from Public

Name: Auguste Miller

Date Submitted: 04/01/2026 03:52 PM

Council File No: 26-0088

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing in support of the Ad Hoc Committee's work to reform Measure ULA and to urge you to advance reforms that would fix the measure's most damaging design flaws, which are hurting housing production and the city's finances. We need more mixed use multi-fam apartment buildings in LA! Measure ULA was passed with a goal I share: funding affordable housing and reducing homelessness in our city. But the evidence is now clear that the tax as currently designed is working against that goal. Academic research from UCLA, RAND, Harvard, and UC Irvine has documented a significant decline in real estate transactions, a sharp drop in new multifamily housing construction, and a reduction in property tax revenues that fund our schools and public services. I support reforming — not repealing — Measure ULA. Targeted fixes can preserve much of the measure's revenues and equity commitments while dramatically reducing the harm it is causing. Some are trying to frame your work as a conflict between supporting low-income tenants and aiding homebuilders, but that's a false choice. Well-designed reform can and will further both goals, as well as support our workforce and help stabilize the city's finances. Los Angeles is already far behind on its state-mandated housing goals. The city needs every policy tool working in the same direction. I urge the committee to move swiftly on meaningful reform and to advance recommendations that align Measure ULA with the housing future Los Angeles voters intended. * Again, we desperately need more mixed use multi-fam apartment buildings & housing in LA! * Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Communication from Public

Name: Chris McKee

Date Submitted: 04/01/2026 12:14 PM

Council File No: 26-0088

Comments for Public Posting: Los Angeles City Clerk, When I voted to support ULA, it was with the understanding that it would be taxing mansions, not apartment buildings. That's the way it was advertised. The city doesn't need more mansions. It made sense. I don't think anyone had ill intent, but we need to fix this ASAP. It is infuriating how little new home construction there is in Los Angeles -- especially on the boulevards. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.