City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fix Measure ULA

100 messages

Bobby Brown <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:21 AM
Reply-To: bbbileeards957@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Bobby Brown
bbbileeards957@gmail.com

North Hollywood, California 91602

Bobby Brown <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:21 AM
Reply-To: bbbileeards957@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Bobby Brown <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:41 AM
Reply-To: bbbileeards957@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Shyeka Simon <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:42 AM
Reply-To: queencharmaineclean@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Shyeka Simon
queencharmaineclean@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90007

---

rene Gonzales <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: missgonzales2010@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:59 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

rene Gonzales
missgonzales2010@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90023

Cynthia Villavicencio <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 6:40 AM
Reply-To: clvv2000@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Cynthia Villavicencio
clvv2000@gmail.com

Sun Valley, California 91352

Zack Hall <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 7:54 AM

Reply-To: hall.zack@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Zack Hall
hall.zack@gmail.com
1909 N. Beachwood Dr. #18
Los Angeles, California 90046

Sylvester Floyd <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 7:55 AM
Reply-To: sylvesteramiyah144k@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Sylvester Floyd
sylvesteramiyah144k@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90003

Sylvester Floyd <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 7:57 AM
Reply-To: sylvesteramiyah144k@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

PS I live in a shelter called home at last we have 150 people here who are about to end up on the streets because they just lost funding Monday they want us to be out of here by the end of this month which is not fair a lot of us have been here for 6 months and longer and did not

receive housing like we were promised, I have a job I have children and I need help, just when I thought my life was about to get better I'm faced with another obstacle, please call me as my name is Sylvester Floyd please call me at area code 213-598-4616 and I'll be sure to retrieve your call and get back with you as my earliest convenience, if you need to leave a voicemail please do or you can text me if you cannot reach me, and I would definitely like I said we'll be sure to retrieve your call and get back with you at my area's convenience thank you .

Sylvester Floyd
sylvesteramiyah144k@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90003

Crystal Blake <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 8:30 AM
Reply-To: cblakeboo007@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Crystal Blake
cblakeboo007@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90043

Crystal Blake <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 8:30 AM
Reply-To: cblakeboo007@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Akilah McRoy <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 9:06 AM
Reply-To: akilahmcroy@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. I'm an advocate for affordable housing, especially turning pre-existing buildings into housing

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles. The average person, with an average job can't afford housing. So we have working homeless living on the street.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Akilah McRoy
akilahmcroy@gmail.com

Inglewood, California 90301

Gino Dudley <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 9:06 AM
Reply-To: helping100people@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and

keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Gino Dudley
helping100people@gmail.com

Glendale, California 91202

David Kor <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 1:11 PM
Reply-To: howdykor1313818@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the

measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

David Kor
howdykor1313818@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90065

andrew newman-anderson <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: afrohippie16@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Wed, May 20, 2026 at 1:15 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be

destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

andrew newman-anderson
afrohippie16@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90008

Leonel Peralta <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 2:30 PM
Reply-To: stuardocarias@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Leonel Peralta
stuardocarias@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90057

Ana Ramos <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 2:56 PM
Reply-To: tinywhiterose83@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Ana Ramos
tinywhiterose83@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90006

Edythe Kelley <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 3:14 PM
Reply-To: rke0502@aol.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and

keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Edythe Kelley
rke0502@aol.com

Los Angeles, California 90035

Kevin Ford <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 3:47 PM
Reply-To: Kford513@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the

measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Kevin Ford
Kford513@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90014

Karen Gladden <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kkarengladden@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:04 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be

destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Karen Gladden
kkarengladden@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90008

Robert Jones <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:20 PM
Reply-To: jonesrobertvann@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Robert Jones
jonesrobertvann@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90064

Robert Jones <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:20 PM
Reply-To: jonesrobertvann@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Robert Jones <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:20 PM
Reply-To: jonesrobertvann@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Robert Jones <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:20 PM
Reply-To: jonesrobertvann@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Robert Jones <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:20 PM
Reply-To: jonesrobertvann@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Robert Jones <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:20 PM
Reply-To: jonesrobertvann@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Nakima Vaden <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:29 PM
Reply-To: nakimacar@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Nakima Vaden
nakimacar@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90003

JESSICA Heillg <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 5:54 PM
Reply-To: jessicaheilig@mac.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

JESSICA Heillg
jessicaheilig@mac.com

Los Angeles, California 90018

Beth Francavilla <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: bfranc2@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Wed, May 20, 2026 at 7:22 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Beth Francavilla
bfranc2@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90035

Susan Suaza <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 9:00 PM
Reply-To: muses220@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Susan Suaza
muses220@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90065

Stephen Hubbard <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 9:50 PM

Reply-To: sterrsh1967@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Stephen Hubbard
sterrsh1967@gmail.com

Inglewood, California 90305

Aviva K Rosenbloom <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 10:08 PM
Reply-To: cantorakr@aol.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Aviva K Rosenbloom
cantorakr@aol.com

Los Angeles, California 90033

Brenda Smith <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 10:09 PM
Reply-To: joycebj10@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Brenda Smith
joycebj10@gmail.com

North Hollywood, California 91605

Som Iempinyo <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: somismine@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Wed, May 20, 2026 at 10:28 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Som Iempinyo
somismine@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90071

Wondwossen HAILEGABRIEL <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Wed, May 20, 2026 at 11:22 PM
Reply-To: wondlive@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Wondwossen HAILEGABRIEL
wondlive@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90008

Rebecca Hawksley <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 12:02 AM

Reply-To: rebhawkn3@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council.

From my personal experience I was a software developer and then went to grad school to work in mental health. My target population was the most severely ill with the highest level of acuity. That meant that I work with homeless people for about 8 years before I became homeless myself.
When people in my family would ask me why did didn't I go to a shelter I'd already tried that and it was horrible. I had a car there was only 3 years old and I could sleep in the backseat crunched up but at least I was My own Boss. I didn't have to answer to other people for my actions. I wasn't treated like a child.
That's what I found staying in shelters that I wasn't being treated like an adult who understood the rules and my agreements to follow them. I was constantly watched over and asked very personal questions.
I had worked for lamp on skid row so when I became homeless I knew not to go downtown. I went to Venice. I had a notebook full of phone numbers for services and a plan of what I could do next to get myself out of the situation. It was very traumatic. Not to say the least that my family may be loved me but they didn't understand how traumatizing it was.
I ended up going to pass Hollywood when they had a new program that was called a road to home. If I remember correctly. The only reason that I agreed to go there knowing that it would be at least 6 months and I'd have to follow the rules and jump through some hoops was because I would get section 8 at the end of it. That was 15 years ago and I continue to be housed. That's the best answer for homeless people is get them housed for a decent period of time. You have to remember that being homeless is very traumatic and causes issues itself such as PTSD. And that's not an exaggeration.
Getting house and hotels for short periods of time cleans up the streets a bit but it doesn't fix the problem. Has anyone thought about increasing the amount of section 8 vouchers available?

Rebecca Hawksley
rebhawkn3@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90038

Julie Rempe <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 1:43 AM
Reply-To: julierempe@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Julie Rempe
julierempe@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90064

Dulce María Barrantes <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 4:56 AM
Reply-To: barrantesdulce7@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Dulce María Barrantes
barrantesdulce7@gmail.com

Harbor City, California 90710

Jose Saul Ruiz <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 6:18 AM
Reply-To: josesaulruiz013@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Jose Saul Ruiz
josesaulruiz013@gmail.com

Covina, California 91722

Yvette Robinson <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 6:28 AM
Reply-To: yvetterobinson@mac.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Yvette Robinson
yvetterobinson@mac.com

Los Angeles, California 90066

Kaziah White <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 6:49 AM
Reply-To: kaziahnate@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Kaziah White
kaziahnate@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90043

Lopez GARCIA <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 7:26 AM

Reply-To: lopezgarcialopez24@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Lopez GARCIA
lopezgarcialopez24@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90011

Wanda Morrison <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 8:08 AM
Reply-To: wanda.nero@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Wanda Morrison
wanda.nero@yahoo.com

Long Beach, California 90807

Maria Daniel <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 8:38 AM
Reply-To: mariag1225@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Maria Daniel
mariag1225@gmail.com

Sylmar, California 91342

Marquita Alexander <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: marquitaalexander88@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Thu, May 21, 2026 at 8:41 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Marquita Alexander
marquitaalexander88@yahoo.com

Valley Village, California 91607

Demetrice Elliott <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 9:32 AM
Reply-To: demetricee@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Demetrice Elliott
demetricee@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90008

Sherice Norris <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 10:19 AM

Reply-To: shejnorris@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Sherice Norris
shejnorris@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90011

Bradley Laven <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 10:39 AM
Reply-To: cleantechlighting@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Bradley Laven
cleantechlighting@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90026

Leonard Evans Jr <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 10:41 AM
Reply-To: lfej60@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Sincerely,

Leonard Evans Jr.

Leonard Evans Jr
lfej60@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90019

Kurtis Gnagey <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:16 AM
Reply-To: kg1905@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Kurtis Gnagey
kg1905@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90020

Carmàlita Smith <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:17 AM
Reply-To: carmalitas421@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Carmàlita Smith
carmalitas421@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90061

Abby Belkin <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:20 AM
Reply-To: abbybelkin@gmail.com

To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Abby Belkin
abbybelkin@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90036

Diane Jackson <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:26 AM
Reply-To: rumjungleroad@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Diane Jackson
rumjungleroad@gmail.com

San Pedro, California 90731

Victoria Torres <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 12:39 PM
Reply-To: victoria112191torres@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Victoria Torres
victoria112191torres@gmail.com

Norwalk, California 90650

Maria Flores <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: mariamf1969@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Thu, May 21, 2026 at 1:09 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Maria Flores
mariamf1969@gmail.com

Wilmington, California 90744

Nicole Edholm <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 2:22 PM
Reply-To: ncedholm@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Nicole Edholm
ncedholm@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90004-1429

Reply-To: hernandezchristina884@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

hernandezchristina884@gmail.com

,

Monique Ponce <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 4:12 PM
Reply-To: ponmon8721@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Monique Ponce
ponmon8721@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90003

Jessie Robinson <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 4:55 PM
Reply-To: ginram2@aol.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Jessie Robinson
ginram2@aol.com

San Pedro, California 90731

Carrie Traylor <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: carriet452@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Thu, May 21, 2026 at 5:02 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Carrie Traylor
carriet452@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90016

---

samuel rivera <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 5:10 PM
Reply-To: samuelriverabey@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

samuel rivera
samuelriverabey@gmail.com

Wilmington, California 90744

Penelope Morel <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 6:15 PM

Reply-To: morelpenelope@hotmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Penelope Morel
morelpenelope@hotmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90031

Leslie King <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 6:23 PM
Reply-To: les.sticknmove@me.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Leslie King
les.sticknmove@me.com

Burbank, California 91505

Tara Jackson <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 6:48 PM
Reply-To: tarajackson004@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Tara Jackson
tarajackson004@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90002-3702

Jasmine Morales <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jass.lashtech@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Thu, May 21, 2026 at 8:18 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Jasmine Morales
jass.lashtech@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90025

Patricia DeBose <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 8:30 PM
Reply-To: debose3@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Patricia DeBose
debose3@gmail.com

Playa Vista, California 90094

Babette Ory <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 9:29 PM

Reply-To: gourmetalchemy@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Babette Ory
gourmetalchemy@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90065

Angela Hughes <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 9:43 PM
Reply-To: ahughes939@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Angela Hughes
ahughes939@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90037

Laura Romero <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 9:49 PM
Reply-To: lr3239117@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Laura Romero
lr3239117@gmail.com

Montebello, California 90640

Laura Alvarado-Cruz <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: alexandreysun@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Thu, May 21, 2026 at 10:10 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Laura Alvarado-Cruz
alexandreysun@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90033

Laura Alvarado-Cruz <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 10:10 PM
Reply-To: alexandreysun@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Maria Mendez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 10:31 PM
Reply-To: mmdcdp@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the

measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Maria Mendez
mmdcdp@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90018

Janice Berridge <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jbkopsick@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Thu, May 21, 2026 at 10:34 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be

destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Janice Berridge
jbkopsick@yahoo.com

Van Nuys, California 91406

Crystal Castorena <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 10:44 PM
Reply-To: crystal2castorena@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Crystal Castorena
crystal2castorena@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90044

Jacklord Tomas <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:29 PM
Reply-To: jacklordtomas7@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Jacklord Tomas
jacklordtomas7@gmail.com

West Hollywood, California 90069

Funnie Albert <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Thu, May 21, 2026 at 11:48 PM
Reply-To: albert_funnie@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and

keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Funnie Albert
albert_funnie@yahoo.com

Pasadena, California 91107

Jesus hernandez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 1:52 AM
Reply-To: g.suhs420@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the

measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Jesus hernandez
g.suhs420@gmail.com

North Hollywood, California 91605

Patricia Murillo <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: murillopatricia015@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Fri, May 22, 2026 at 3:27 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be

destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Patricia Murillo
murillopatricia015@gmail.com

San Pedro, California 90731

Patricia Murillo <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 3:27 AM
Reply-To: murillopatricia015@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

[Quoted text hidden]

Tomasa Martinez <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 4:44 AM
Reply-To: martineztomasa808@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Tomasa Martinez
martineztomasa808@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90033

Irene Leung-Astwood <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 6:35 AM

Reply-To: ireneastwood@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Irene Leung-Astwood
ireneastwood@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90012

Taylor Artis <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 7:00 AM
Reply-To: kingofandrogyny@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Taylor Artis
kingofandrogyny@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90043

Sharon Palmer <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 7:52 AM
Reply-To: blackhairbysharon6623@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Sharon Palmer
blackhairbysharon6623@gmail.com

Northridge, California 91325

India Mathews <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: whitegirl8471@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Fri, May 22, 2026 at 8:09 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

India Mathews
whitegirl8471@gmail.com

North Hollywood, California 91606

Timothy Pierce <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 9:31 AM
Reply-To: timothypierced@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Timothy Pierce
timothypierced@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90014

Waltshanda Carter <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 10:29 AM

Reply-To: waltshandac@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Waltshanda Carter
waltshandac@gmail.com

Long Beach, California 90806

Vena Theus <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 11:14 AM
Reply-To: venatheus@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Vena Theus
venatheus@gmail.com

Hawthorne, California 90250

Sandra Kielgass <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 11:23 AM
Reply-To: skielgass@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Sandra Kielgass
skielgass@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90024

Rashia Berry <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rashiaberry1@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Fri, May 22, 2026 at 11:24 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Rashia Berry
rashiaberry1@gmail.com

North Hollywood, California 91601

Elnora Foreman <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 12:06 PM
Reply-To: elnora6826@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Elnora Foreman
elnora6826@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90059

Juan fabela Fabela <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 12:34 PM

Reply-To: jfabela550@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Juan fabela Fabela
jfabela550@gmail.com

Hesperia, California 92345

Dejon Watkins <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 12:38 PM
Reply-To: remy8535@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Dejon Watkins
remy8535@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90037

Andrew Gyles <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 2:03 PM
Reply-To: infinityfx35a1@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Andrew Gyles
infinityfx35a1@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90002

Christine Oliva <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: olivarules@hotmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Fri, May 22, 2026 at 2:23 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA

discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Christine Oliva
olivarules@hotmail.com

Long Beach, California 90813

Desmond Eggleston <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 3:14 PM
Reply-To: desmondeggleston1@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Desmond Eggleston
desmondeggleston1@gmail.com

Pacoima, California 91331

Veronica Long <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 3:46 PM

Reply-To: longvero7@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes. And keep section 8 for the people who already have it, my husband and I have been waiting for over 6 months for our section 8 to get reinstated and today Sheriff's department came to evict us, regardless of the numerous of calls and emails.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city. Sincerely Veronica Long

Veronica Long
longvero7@gmail.com

Mission Hills, California 91345

Phillip Mosley <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 5:11 PM
Reply-To: phl_mo@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every

city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

I Myself am Homeless I have been in a Shelter (Home At Last)
for 14 MONTHS
and now they are shutting down the facility out of nowhere and they sending us all over the place!!
I am born 1961 so I am officially a Senior Citizen with 2 children age14 & 11 and I need some assistance in low income Housing!!

Phillip Mosley
phl_mo@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90003

K Guerr <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kguerrero926@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Fri, May 22, 2026 at 6:56 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

K Guerr
kguerrero926@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90042

Mark Henderson Rainey <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 7:59 PM
Reply-To: lattisawhendersonrainey@yahoo.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers

who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Mark Henderson Rainey
lattisawhendersonrainey@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90004

Jasper Steed <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org> Fri, May 22, 2026 at 8:35 PM
Reply-To: festivaljasper@gmail.com
To: clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Los Angeles City Clerk,

I am writing to urge the City Council to advance meaningful reforms to Measure ULA — reforms that fix what is broken while preserving what voters intended.

The evidence is clear that the measure as written is causing serious harm in three ways, and the committee has an opportunity to fix all three before they get worse.

1. It is blocking the construction of new homes — including affordable ones.

Measure ULA taxes the sale of newly built apartment buildings the same way it taxes windfall profits from properties that have sat untouched for decades. That is a mistake that punishes homebuilders at a moment when we desperately need more housing. Research shows that the measure is causing an estimated 1,900 fewer new apartments to be permitted in LA every year as a result, including at least 168 fewer affordable homes annually — more than ULA's revenues from taxing new construction can replace.

The fix is straightforward: exempt newly built homes and commercial buildings from the tax for the first 15 to 20 years following Certificate of Occupancy. This would remove the penalty on new construction while having only a modest impact on overall revenues.

2. It is driving investment out of Los Angeles.

Since Measure ULA took effect, sales of high-value properties in the City of Los Angeles have fallen roughly 50 percent above and beyond the drop experienced in the rest of the county. Every city countywide faces the same high interest rates and construction costs — this yawning gap between the City of LA and its neighbors is a direct result of the tax. Investors and developers who have the choice are simply moving outside the city limits, leading to less investment in new homes, jobs, and businesses in LA.

Lowering the overall tax rate imposed upon non-single-family properties — apartment buildings, commercial properties, and mixed-use developments — would reduce this market distortion and keep investment in Los Angeles.

3. It is costing the public more than it raises.

Every time a property sells, it gets reassessed at its new market value — and that means more property tax revenue for the City, the County, and our public schools. When Measure ULA discourages sales, it also prevents those reassessments from happening. The result is a smaller property tax base for everyone.

Research from Harvard estimates that for every dollar Measure ULA raises, the region loses between 63 cents and $1.38 in property tax revenue. In other words, the measure could well be destroying more public revenue than it creates — a bad deal for a city that is facing a structural budget deficit and a school district that is struggling to keep class sizes small and pay teachers a living wage.

Fortunately, these flaws within ULA are fixable. This subcommittee has the power to put forward a better-designed measure for the November ballot — one that mitigates damage to our property tax base and supports rather than undermines the construction of desperately needed new homes.

I urge you to move forward with meaningful reform. Thank you for your time and your service to our city.

Jasper Steed
festivaljasper@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90004