

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 06:17 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Nate Shields

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 06:27 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: I am very concerned about the parking situation related to this new property development on Acama Street. There is already very little available parking in the neighborhood and the information I have reviewed suggests this new development will not have nearly enough parking for the expected incoming residents.

Communication from Public

Name: Nick Lewerke

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 06:31 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: David Luner

Date Submitted: 04/15/2026 07:01 AM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: As one of the residents of Woodbridge Park I have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: R. Steinman

Date Submitted: 04/15/2026 10:20 AM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development, as proposed, introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, including serious parking issues and valid concerns about emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would have substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the site's physical parameters, which is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Nathaly Alverio
Date Submitted: 04/15/2026 10:40 AM
Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Susan L Liebman Ladd

Date Submitted: 04/15/2026 12:16 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible. Thank you, Susan L Ladd

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/15/2026 12:59 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Rachel Abergel

Date Submitted: 04/15/2026 12:59 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Darcy Wilding

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 02:08 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Julia Sachs

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 03:21 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Minal Karlekar

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 03:44 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Drew Rothbarth
Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 03:45 PM
Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Cyrus Shaki-Khan

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 04:01 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Luiza Ricupero Negret

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 04:02 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. As a resident of Woodbridge Park for nearly 10 years, I have observed how tricky it is to walk along that stretch of Acama st. with my children. That stretch doesn't have proper sidewalks for most of it, and already has a lot of cars parked on both sides of the street. This makes an already narrow street even more narrow. And this proposed development, which lacks adequate parking (and that phrasing is being generous given the reality), just adds to the concern. I would welcome a proposal that would include parking spaces proportionate to the amount of units, but as proposed, this development poses a significant public safety concern. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Vicki Hiatt

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 04:06 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 04:50 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.



31' 11"