

Communication from Public

Name: Yvette Y.

Date Submitted: 04/16/2026 05:03 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Madison Liner

Date Submitted: 04/14/2026 03:18 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as possible.

Communication from Public

Name: Tracy

Date Submitted: 04/16/2026 02:30 PM

Council File No: 26-0141

Comments for Public Posting: Residents of Woodbridge Park have many concerns about the public safety issues presented by the proposed affordable housing development at 11143 Acama Street. I understand the constraints imposed by City and State housing laws, and appreciate Councilmember Nazarian's recognition of our concern for public safety in introducing the above motion to fund traffic and emergency access studies and to include these analyses in the administrative record. The development as proposed introduces many challenges for our neighborhood, among them serious parking issues and valid concern over emergency vehicle access on this narrow street, which would present substantial negative public health impacts. Adding to my concern is the gross misrepresentation of the physical parameters of the site that is currently part of the City's Expanded Administrative Review. The City must use accurate information in determining the feasibility of a project of this scale at the proposed site. Residents have submitted documentation to the City that Acama Street is not 60 feet wide, as stated in the EAR; it is 30-32 feet wide. Any traffic or emergency access studies resulting from this motion should be time-sensitive, given that the permitting process continues for the proposed project. It is the City's responsibility to keep streets safe for families. Your constituents deserve streets that are passable to cars, emergency vehicles, pedestrians, including those with wheelchairs and strollers, and bikes. I ask that the PLUM Committee forward this motion to the full Council for a vote as soon as