



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 26-0286

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, khan.epnc@gmail.com

Wed, Mar 25, 2026 at 2:47 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Echo Park

Name: Mansoor Khan

Email: khan.epnc@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(14) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(1) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 03/25/2026

Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information

Date: 03/25/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 26-0286

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 03/25/2026

Item Number:

Summary: The Echo Park Neighborhood Council opposes Council File 26-0286 which would accept a donation of military equipment including drones, docking stations, and other supporting infrastructure valued at over \$3 million from the Los Angeles Police Foundation for LAPD's Drone as First Responder (DFR) program.



ECHO PARK

NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

Certified Council: April 16, 2002



BOARD

CHAIR

Sara Seidman

VICE CHAIR

Vacant

CIO

Emily Blake

TREASURER

Connie Acosta

SECRETARY

Windy O'Malley

CONTACT

INFO@ECHOPARKNC.COM

WWW.ECHOPARKNC.COM

ADDRESS

2011 W. Sunset Blvd.,
Los Angeles, CA 90026

To: All City Council Members

March 25, 2006

Re: Community Impact Statement in opposition of Council File 26-0286

Community Impact Statement in Opposition to Council File 26-0286: LAPD Drone First Responder Program

The Echo Park Neighborhood Council opposes Council File 26-0286 which would accept a donation of military equipment including drones, docking stations, and other supporting infrastructure valued at over \$3 million from the Los Angeles Police Foundation for LAPD's Drone as First Responder (DFR) program. This is a program for which the Council has yet to provide any public framework addressing how drone deployment will be governed, what data will be collected and retained, who will have access to it, or how residents will be protected from the civil liberties and privacy harms that aerial surveillance programs have produced in other cities.

Our neighborhood has repeatedly voiced that the policing that occurs within our boundaries does not make us feel safer. LAPD's recent track record shows a pattern of misusing their existing tools such as riot gear, tear gas, and less-lethal ammunition. These have been deployed against peaceful protesters, World Series celebrators, and ordinary residents within our council's boundaries. Just a few months ago, we approved a separate letter condemning the LAPD for the violent abuse of one of our board members and called for an investigation. Community members and research alike have raised serious questions about whether continued LAPD expansion produces corresponding safety outcomes. It is clear our neighbors do not support further militarization of the department, further demonstrated by our neighborhood council approving this statement unanimously.

The Echo Park Neighborhood Council strongly urges the Council to reject CF 26-0286. If the Police Foundation truly believes they need this equipment, it should be purchased through LAPD's appropriated budget, not donated by private interests.

On March 24, 2006, the EPNC Board of Governors held a Brown Act noticed-meeting, at 7pm. With a quorum of 14 board members present and vote count of 14 yeas, 0 nays, and 0 abstentions, the Board resolved to write a CIS in opposition of Council File 26-0286

Respectfully,
Echo Park Neighborhood Council
Windy O'Malley
Secretary, Echo Park Neighborhood Council