

Communication from Public

Name: Joyce Dillard
Date Submitted: 06/02/2026 06:40 AM
Council File No: 26-0331
Comments for Public Posting: Please see attached.

Street Lighting Assessment

Public Hearing 6/2/2026

Agenda Item 1

Council File 26-0331

I object.

Parcel size is not a determinate in street lighting. The number of street light coverage in an area should be a factor and should include facts such as out-of-service lighting. That was not presented in this report.

Many street lights were funded by federal dollars or subsidies and maintenance has not been maintained. Budget approvals disregarded the need. With theft, that need was not reassessed in budget considerations.

Shortfalls are mismanaged dollars. You have failed your duties.

Benefits presented are:

1. Improved Security and Crime Prevention
2. Improved Safety
3. Improved Economic Activity and Access
4. Improved Aesthetics

Economy activity can be drug sales to the homeless on the street. Is that your intention as the homeless issue remains with millions of dollars approved by the voters?

You do not address safety in landlocked parcels though improvements may be on the properties with public safety still an issue.

With budgetary shortfalls in public safety such as Fire and Police, you are not addressing benefit in its entirety. You can gather ingredients, but to bake a cake you need an oven. You have only ingredients.

You have no full plan to make such empty promises and present a Special Benefit and General Benefit.

This is just another scheme to obtain funding of which you have no real pathway, personnel or

leadership to execute.

Joyce Dillard

Los Angeles, CA 90031