

Communication from Public

Name: GH

Date Submitted: 05/04/2026 11:28 AM

Council File No: 26-0489-S2

Comments for Public Posting: Previous commenters (anonymous and June Martin) were confused regarding increased taxes and additional property assessment. NEITHER happens under this proposal. Only the ALLOCATION for city parks increases. That is, some percentages are reduced from other budget items. The pie is sliced differently! This makes excellent sense, given City of Los Angeles Parks are currently receiving a percentage (per capita) ONE-THIRD of peer cities. SUPPORT!

Communication from Public

Name: Kerry Wright

Date Submitted: 05/04/2026 04:55 PM

Council File No: 26-0489-S2

Comments for Public Posting: As a Los Angeles homeowner, I strongly oppose placing this measure on the ballot. Property owners in this city are already carrying an extremely heavy tax burden, and increasing it further is not sustainable for working families. Putting this to a public vote risks shifting more costs onto homeowners, while many voters who do not bear these taxes may support increases without fully understanding the impact. While I recognize the need to invest in our parks, continually relying on property taxes is not a fair or balanced solution. The City should first focus on better prioritizing and reallocating the resources it already has, rather than increasing the overall tax burden. At a time when homeownership in Los Angeles is already becoming out of reach, we should be focused on affordability—not adding more financial strain.