

Communication from Public

Name: Charles Lusher

Date Submitted: 06/16/2026 12:57 AM

Council File No: 26-0489

Comments for Public Posting: Councilmembers, My name is Charles and I'm a constituent of yours in North Hollywood. I'd like to uplift LA Forward Institute's support of two police accountability reforms brought to you by the Rules Committee: First, CRC 54, which would enable Council to have the power to make LAPD policy; and Second, CRC 58, which would require the Chief of Police to ensure that the Police Department abides by the First Amendment, AND allows the Chief of Police to remove officers with repeated histories of harm We can't believe these reforms do not exist yet. These reforms don't give the Chief of Police or Council any special powers; they simply give the Chief and Council tools that other Departments have access to. Additionally, these reforms do nothing to change the high bar of removal of an employee, or the high bar of passing an ordinance with a council majority. These reforms simply reinforce powers typically held by City Council or department heads. I urge you to support both of these reforms. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Monica day

Date Submitted: 06/16/2026 08:35 AM

Council File No: 26-0489

Comments for Public Posting: My name is Monica day. I am a constituent of Council Member Hugo Soto-Martinez in East Hollywood. I'm writing to express my support for LA Forward Institute's endorsement of two police accountability reforms before the Rules Committee. The first is CRC 54, which would grant the Council authority to shape LAPD policy. The second is CRC 58, which would require the Chief of Police to ensure the department upholds the First Amendment and would allow the Chief to remove officers with repeated histories of misconduct. Frankly, it is difficult to understand why these reforms don't already exist. They do not grant the Chief or the Council any extraordinary powers — they simply bring these offices in line with the tools available to other city departments and department heads. They leave unchanged the high threshold required to remove an employee, and the requirement of a council majority to pass an ordinance. These reforms do nothing more than codify powers that should already be standard. I urge you to support both CRC 54 and CRC 58. Thank you.