



Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 26-0600

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, dsolis@canndunc.org, controller.mejia@lacity.org

Tue, May 12, 2026 at 2:08 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website.Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: CANNDU

Name: David Solis

Email: dsolis@canndunc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(5) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 05/11/2026

Type of NC Board Action: Against Unless Amended

Impact Information

Date: 05/12/2026

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, City Controller

Council File Number: 26-0600

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary:

Los Angeles, CA 90003 | board@canndunc.org | canndunc.org

Community Impact Statement (CIS)

To: Los Angeles City Council; Budget and Finance Committee

CC: Office of City Controller Kenneth Mejia

From: CANNDU Neighborhood Council (District 9)

Date of Action: May 11, 2026

Re: Council File 26-0600 – Mayor’s Proposed Budget FY 2026-2027

Position: OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED

On Monday, May 11, 2026, the CANNDU Neighborhood Council, in a vote of **5 yes, 0 no, and 0 abstentions**, voted to oppose the Mayor’s Proposed Budget for FY 2026-2027 unless amended with the following provisions:

I. Executive Summary

The CANNDU Neighborhood Council, representing stakeholders in the South Los Angeles corridor from Slauson Ave to 84th St, formally opposes the Mayor’s Proposed FY 2026-2027 Budget. While the City faces a deficit, this budget prioritizes \$3.55 billion for the LAPD (a 6.6% increase over FY26) and \$24 million in new "sworn hiring" bonuses while failing to meet legal mandates for infrastructure and threatening the stability of our district’s interim housing. We demand a budget that prioritizes the health, safety, and dignity of our neighborhoods over police expansion.

II. Infrastructure & Sanitation: Protecting the 311 System

We explicitly oppose any cuts to the Bureau of Sanitation and demand a strengthening of the MyLA311 ecosystem.

- We oppose the reduction of illegal dumping enforcement. Our stakeholders rely on these teams to maintain the Central-Alameda and Broadway corridors.
- We recommend additional funding for the 311 system to ensure that reporting "Life-and-Safety" issues (illegal dumping, broken lights) results in an immediate service ticket rather than the current 6-month backlog. 311 data should be used as the primary metric for resource allocation in District 9.

III. Animal Services: No Cuts to Humane Care

We oppose the proposed \$1 million reduction to the Department of Animal Services.

- We demand the full restoration of the Animal Food/Feed and Medical Supplies accounts. The current proposal to eliminate food funding and cut medical funds to \$50,000 is inhumane and unacceptable.

David Solis, **Chair** | Juan Ramirez, **Vice-Chair** | Nikita Jones-Houston, **Treasurer** | Davona Watson, **Corresponding Secretary** | Leslie Gamero, **At-Large Member** | Jose Palacios, **At-Large Member**

- We reject the notion that external grants or the Animal Welfare Trust Fund (donations) should replace General Fund obligations. Grants should be used for enhancements, not for the core survival of the shelters.

IV. Opposition to Shelter & Homelessness Service Cuts

- We demand a guarantee that no shelter beds within CD9 boundaries (Inside Safe/Bridge Home) face funding lapses.
- We oppose cuts to mobile pit stops. Controller audits show that unsanitary conditions around encampments create higher long-term liability costs than regular maintenance.
- Redirect the \$42 million for new LAPD patrol vehicles toward specialized, non-armed security for shelters.

V. Integration of City Controller's Fiscal Findings

- We demand the liquidation of the \$80.4 million in idle funds identified by Controller Mejia to fix the 60,000-light repair backlog.
- We oppose the failure to hit the 1.5% legal target for capital improvements. The current 1.24% allocation ensures that South LA's sidewalks remain in disrepair.
- We oppose the \$24 million hiring bonus pool while civilian vacancies in Parks & Recreation and Animal Services remain unfilled.

IV. Community-Specific Mandates (District 9)

1. **Illegal Dumping:** Redirect "Police Recruitment" funds to prevent cuts in the sanitation department and update systems for MyLA 311 to improve service support
2. **Park Equity:** Fully fund staff and "Clean and Safe" programming for South LA parks to ensure they remain viable alternatives to the street for our youth.
3. **Street Lighting Priority:** Mandate that CD9 be the primary recipient of the "Street Lights Initiative." Our stakeholders should not wait a year for repairs while the City subsidizes millions in new police vehicle debt (MICLA)

Sincerely,

CANN DU Neighborhood Council