

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/26/2026 08:23 AM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: I support the resolution to Save San Pascual Park and oppose the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse project. I'm concerned about the removal of over 100 trees, the loss of park access in a park-poor community, and the health impacts on residents already dealing with pollution from the 110 freeway. In addition to the environmental and health impacts to the community at large, I am also concerned for my child's health and quality of life, as well as their friends and classmates. My toddler attends an outdoor nature preschool that meets on San Pascual Park land twice a week, all year round and comes to Arroyo Park (also in the Arroyo Seco, close to the land in question) during rainy days. With the current water reuse project's scope, I worry about the impacts on my toddler's health with the construction phases, as well as how much their physical environment each week will change and how that might negatively impact them. Thank you for your time.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/26/2026 08:31 AM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: I support saving SannPascual Park! Abandon the Arroyo Seco water reuse project and leave our parks intact!

Communication from Public

Name: Jim Round

Date Submitted: 06/25/2026 01:01 PM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly support Council member Ysabel Jurado's resolution opposing the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. I also want to thank Council member Jurado for working with the community and listening to the concerns of our neighbors who would be directly impacted. San Pascual Park is home to sacred burial grounds of the Kizh Nation, who have been stewards of this land since time immemorial. A project of this scale would cause irreversible harm to a place that should be protected, respected, and preserved. I live across the street from the planned project, so this issue is deeply personal to me. San Pascual Park is not just open space on a map, it is part of our daily lives, our neighborhood, and one of the most beautiful natural areas in Los Angeles. Thank you!

Communication from Public

Name: Tawanda Suessbrich- Joaquim

Date Submitted: 06/26/2026 12:18 AM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: I support council member Ysabel Jurado's resolution opposing the Arroyo Seco Reuse Project. I urge the City of LA to take legal action to protect San Pascual Park and ensure that our parklands remain protected, now and forever. Support this resolution and defend our public green spaces.

Communication from Public

Name: Caitlin Wylde

Date Submitted: 06/26/2026 02:24 AM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: Save San Pasqual park! Protect it and save it for the people

Communication from Public

Name: Casey Law

Date Submitted: 06/26/2026 06:59 AM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: I am a commissioner in the South Pasadena Natural Resources and Environmental Commission and am writing to express my support for the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. South Pasadena has an urgent need to manage and clean its storm water and runoff. For decades, our water has flowed off our city streets and into the LA River and Pacific Ocean. As it flows, it cultivates bacteria, collects heavy metals, and spreads garbage. For too long, the lack of treatment has poisoned our ocean and the people who live along the river. This is a problem for residents of LA and South Pasadena, who both prize their open, natural spaces. Our city recently gave up pursuing another large project for storm water capture under Huntington Blvd, as the commercial supplier no longer provides the required technology. If South Pasadena cannot proceed with the Arroyo Seco project, then it is at risk of non-compliance with legal requirements to treat urban runoff and storm water. As a small city, we cannot afford to pay the fines for non-compliance and it would financially ruin us. The Arroyo Seco Water Reuse project is a sensible approach to managing runoff and the parkland adjacent to the water. By passing water through an infiltration basin, we can clean and recharge the aquifer. The design does not touch San Pasqual park, but instead uses land east of the channel and adjacent to a different park in South Pasadena. Given the benefit to our waterway, I think some tree removal and replacement is appropriate. In fact, the plan will largely improve the natural space through new plantings and improving access for our community. Measure W is a lifeline for our natural spaces and the South Pasadena and Pasadena are fortunate to have been awarded one of its grants. Please support South Pasadena and Pasadena as they act on the moral and legal obligation to clean their surface runoff.

Communication from Public

Name: Jessica Cerutti

Date Submitted: 06/26/2026 08:00 AM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: We live within walking distance to this park, and visit many days a week it is our only real accessible green space. This project steals water from an already stressed ecosystem, and is encroaching on tribal land without consent. This land today does not even belong to south Pasadena or Pasadena, and is opposed by the surrounding communities and City Council in LA. There is devastating environmental impact that will occur for the benefit of an elite few at a golf course not even located in the same jurisdiction as the park community. This project will negatively impact the community surrounding these areas, not to mention the wildlife and tree canopy that will be destroyed. Please do not proceed with this project.