

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Tony Johnson

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 06:18 PM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am a community member who lives near San Pascual Park and regularly uses the green space. The park, trails, nature and natural creek are an essential part of the neighborhood. Changing the infrastructure from its current state will not benefit the community or the natural state of the park. It will in fact make the park less beautiful. San Pascual park is an essential part of the area, as green spaces are minimal in Los Angeles. I support Councilmember Ysabel Jurado's resolution opposing the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. I appreciate Councilmember Jurado's commitment to listening to community concerns and standing up for our public parks. I urge the City of Los Angeles to take legal action to protect San Pascual Park and ensure that our parklands remain protected for current and future generations. Please support this resolution and defend our public green spaces.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Clara Solis

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 08:12 PM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** The following are opposed to the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project: LOS ANGELES SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER, ROCIO RIVAS, BD 2 GABRIELENO BAND OF MISSION INDIANS - KIZH NATION LOS ANGELES AUDUBON SIERRA CLUB LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY FORESTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CFAC) STEWARDS OF THE ARROYO SECO FRIENDS OF SOUTH PASADENA NATURE PARK CLAW CITIZENS FOR LOS ANGELES WILDLIFE COYOTL MACEHUALLI LOS ANGELES CLIMATE REALITY PROJECT SAN PASCUAL ARROYO SECO WILDLIFE PRESERVATION EAST AREA PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRATS (EAPD) HISTORIC HIGHLAND PARK NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL ARROYO SECO NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL LINCOLN HEIGHTS NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL LOS FELIZ NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL GARVANZA IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION HISTORIC GARVANZA COALITION HIGHLAND PARK HERITAGE TRUST AND OVER A FOUR THOUSAND INDIVIDUALS FROM HIGHLAND PARK AND THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

## Communication from Public

**Name:** San Pascual Arroyo Seco Wildlife Preservation  
**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 05:11 PM  
**Council File No:** 26-0777  
**Comments for Public Posting:** Please find attached our letter in support of Councilmember Jurado's Resolution. We oppose the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. Sincerely, San Pascual Arroyo Seco Wildlife Preservation



## **San Pascual Arroyo Seco Wildlife Preservation**

**[Historicgarvanza.com@gmail.com](mailto:Historicgarvanza.com@gmail.com)**

June 25, 2026

City Council  
City of Los Angeles

**Dear City Council Members,**

We write in support of Councilmember Jurado's resolution opposing the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. Our opposition is grounded in four serious concerns: violations of Los Angeles City Charter protections for parkland, the desecration of a sacred Indigenous site, the systematic exclusion of environmental justice communities from the planning process, and significant environmental harm to our neighborhood.

### **I. San Pascual Park Is Protected Parkland and Must Remain Open to the Public**

Los Angeles City Charter Section 594(c) states that all lands dedicated as public parks "shall forever remain for the use of the public inviolate." San Pascual Park East — located east of the Arroyo Seco Channel, south of the San Pascual Bridge — is actively used by Highland Park residents for hiking, running, birdwatching, wildlife observation, equestrian activities, and relief from summer heat. The area is approximately 10 degrees cooler than surrounding streets due to its mature tree canopy.

The Project proposes to fence off a portion of this park and install a concrete pumping station pad on City of Los Angeles parkland — infrastructure that primarily benefits a golf course in South Pasadena. Under the City Charter, the City may not transfer or lease parkland that is actively used by the public. We urge the Council to enforce this protection.

### **II. This Is a Sacred Site for the Kizh Nation**

Chief Salas of the Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians — Kizh Nation has formally requested full avoidance of this site. The Kizh Nation was identified through a sacred site search conducted by the California Native American Heritage Commission. We stand in support of the Kizh Nation's right to protect their cultural resources, and we ask the Council to honor that request.

### III. Our Community Was Systematically Excluded from the Planning Process

The San Pascual neighborhood was not meaningfully included at any stage of this project's planning — a serious violation of the public participation requirements mandated by CEQA. As one court affirmed in 1986, the public holds a "privileged position" in the CEQA process, "based on a belief that citizens can make important contributions to environmental protection and on notions of democratic decision making."

Specific failures include:

- **No meetings in Los Angeles.** All three community meetings were held in Pasadena and South Pasadena. Not one meeting was held in Highland Park — not during the planning phase, and not for the Draft EIR.
- **Key elected officials were not notified.** California State Senator Maria Elena Durazo, Assemblywoman Wendy Carrillo, Los Angeles County Supervisor Hilda Solis, and LA School Board Member Rocio Rivas (District 2) received no notice. By contrast, Pasadena and South Pasadena elected officials were notified.
- **Notices excluded Spanish speakers.** The San Pascual neighborhood is estimated to be 63% Latino, with approximately 60% of residents linguistically isolated (Cal EnviroScreen 4.0). Despite this, all notices and materials — including the flyer mailed to 98 residences just before Thanksgiving 2023 — were entirely in English, with no indication of how to obtain information in Spanish. This effectively barred a large portion of our community from participating in the environmental review process and in the planning process for this project.

The predictable result: only two residents in all of Pasadena, South Pasadena, and Los Angeles submitted comments on the Mitigated Negative Declaration. This is not public participation — it is its absence. Now, during the Draft Environmental Review Process the project proponents have not scheduled a single meeting in Los Angeles or anywhere else.

### IV. The Project Causes Serious Environmental Harm to Our Neighborhood

**Tree Removal.** The Project would remove 136 of 156 mature trees (87%) from the San Pascual site — including 40 protected trees. Some of these trees are more than a hundred years old. This small forest buffers San Pascual residents from freeway noise, air pollution, and heat from the adjacent I-110 corridor. Due to the neighborhood's bowl-shaped topography, bordered by Avenue 66, the York Street Bridge, South Pasadena, and the Arroyo Seco Parkway, residents are particularly vulnerable to heat and pollution. Every resident in the San Pascual is a quarter mile or less from the I-110 freeway. The area contains over 70 apartment buildings and many of the residents are renters. Replacement trees will provide no meaningful shade or pollution

protection for decades. Tree canopy in this area has already been reduced by development over the past decade; this project would accelerate that loss.

**Wildlife and Habitat.** The federally designated wetland coast live oak/sycamore woodland east of the channel provides critical wildlife connectivity between Hahamongna in La Cañada and Debs Park. A wide variety of bird species and other wildlife depend on this corridor. Fan Palms in this area may provide essential roosting habitat for the western yellow bat (*Lasiurus xanthinus*), a California Species of Special Concern. Removal of these palms without proper mitigation could be fatal to local bat populations. Any removal plan should include a biological report with a plan.

**Trail and Equestrian Access.** The proposed 5-foot decomposed granite path does not meet the Los Angeles Complete Streets Design Guide standard of 10–12 feet for trails adjacent to streets, and falls below the minimum width of 6 feet required in all but the most constrained locations. Equestrian users who rely on this trail daily have raised concerns that the surface and width are unsuitable for horses.

**Water Quality and Mosquito Control.** The existing habitat supports turtles, fish, including mosquito fish, frogs and other species that naturally control the mosquito population.

**Unproven Technology.** The system is largely untested at this scale. The existing Pasadena diversion project has been known to produce odors. If the San Pascual installation is not properly maintained, it could become a source of odor and a mosquito breeding ground.

**Groundwater Integrity.** The Draft EIR does not adequately address the Project's potential impact on groundwater and the structural integrity of the Arroyo Seco Channel. This risk requires further study before any approvals are granted.

## **V. There are better ways to clean the water**

A nature-based solution would clean the water more efficiently than the project proposed. Additionally, any plan should include clean-up at the source.

City of Los Angeles parkland is being used to advance a project that provides no meaningful benefit to City residents.

We urge you to protect San Pascual Park East as public parkland, honor the Kizh Nation's request for full site avoidance, and ensure that any future planning process provides genuine, multilingual community engagement with Highland Park residents.

## **San Pascual Arroyo Seco Wildlife Preservation**

Rosa Rivas, Jamie Tijerina, Tani Kaye, Cruz Burrencia, Clara Solis

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Elisabeth Edwards

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 05:38 PM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** I strongly support the resolution to Save San Pascual Park. Please immediately abandon the plans for the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. We cherish our public parks that serve as homes to countless trees, bird and animal species, and as community gathering spaces for all to enjoy. Thank you.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Katya Evanhoe

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 03:40 PM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear Los Angeles City Council: I am pleased that District 14 Councilwoman Ysabel Jurado has introduced resolution 26-0777 opposing the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. The Los Angeles City Council must formally oppose this dangerous and misguided project. The San Pasqual Park is an important natural oasis and unique gem in the city of Los Angeles. It is a Los Angeles City Park funded by the taxpayers of Los Angeles. I am appalled that the cities of Pasadena and South Pasadena are seeking to permanently convert a Los Angeles public parkland into industrial infrastructure, raising legal and ethical concerns under the Los Angeles City Charter. This important public parkland is not a chess piece to be bartered around for control of vital public resources. The cities of Pasadena and South Pasadena have many beautiful parks and golf courses, enough to accommodate the residents there. They do not need nor is it legal to take and demolish another city's natural infrastructure. The Highland Park community (and all visitors) deserves this beautiful natural green space for all to use. Grabbing water rights is a cheap trick by the wealthy and powerful. The proposed project would remove more than 136 mature trees, eliminating over 82 % of the existing canopy and destroying critical habitat for wildlife. We all know the importance of tree canopy and what heritage trees do for the natural environment and the overall quality of life in our current state of serious climate change. Loss of this mature urban forest would increase heat, noise, and pollution impacts on the surrounding neighborhood which is located a quarter of a mile from the 110 freeway. Science confirms for us that trees lower temperatures especially in the Spring/Summer seasons by up to 35%. Trees take decades to become important canopy. Planting new trees IS NOT A MITIGATION. IT IS A TRICK TO APPEASE THE UNKNOWING PUBLIC. A tree cut down today is permanently gone leaving a scar for generations. A replacement tree will not shade your children or your grandchildren. Please consider the very serious overall environmental, social, and economic consequences in your decision. Your decision will outlast you into the next generation and beyond. Golfers will move on and golf regardless. The park land cannot move. It will be scarred permanently. Sincerely, Katya Evanhoe, 1663 Spaulding Ave. L.A. 90019.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Pilar Reynaldo

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:00 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** This motion is full of disinformation which Council member Jurado should have vetted before bringing in front of the entire council. For starters this body has NO jurisdiction over the City's of Pasadena & South Pasadena. NO part of the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse project is within the boundaries of San Pascual park. In fact half of San Pascual park east of the channel is owned by the City of South Pasadena. South Pasadena & Los Angeles have had long standing agreements in place since the 1940's, the City of Los Angeles benefits from the use of San Pascual park in its entirety, there are no fences or signs indicating the land is owned by another city. The project site in question has 0.5 acres of Los Angeles open space land which is currently used by the unhoused and is regularly the site of mounds of garbage. Recently Council Member Jurado used L.A. Taxpayer money to have dumpsters dropped at the site resulting in a clean up in South Pasadena's Arroyo park, where the belongings of several unhoused encampments were removed. That action is illegal under Municipal Code LAMC 56.11. As all of you know it is impossible to start large projects in the City or County without approval from the tribes who choose to participate within the 30 day comment period. These projects would not have gotten as far as long had The Cities not had correspondence from a tribe. Council member Jurado's motion also claims there are century old trees on the site, which is absolutely false. Aerial imagery from the 30's, 40's & 50's does not show evidence of large trees. In fact only a few trees are on the 0.5 acre parcel of L.A. land, all the other trees, invasive species and palms belong to the City of South Pasadena. Jurado also Claims no infrastructure shall be on the L.A. site, the reason the site was chosen is because all the infrastructure is already in place. The fish and wildlife website shows the site is a man made constructed wetland. There are 2 stories of underground infrastructure already in place. At a time when the City is looking for funds to maintain our parks here are two projects fully funded by Measure W & Prop 68. Currently these sites are not accessible and the one in South Pasadena is not safe. These spaces will not only clean our toxic laden storm drain runoff they will create 2 native parks , new trails and paths. This would not only be a win for the environment, those of us who actually enjoy walking in the arroyo but all our native birds and

pollinators which do not have enough native vegetation to call home. Then there is the matter of eliminating other tribes which we know resided along the arroyo, why should one tribe receive preferential treatment over the others. In a time when inclusivity is what our society is fighting for this is an act of segregation. As the daughter of a woman who fought against all forms of segregation I find the very suggestion of this motion highly offensive. What I find most troubling about this motion is that Council member Jurado has not walked the site with City officials from South Pasadena & Pasadena, she canceled her site walk with people who could have informed her to the facts surrounding these projects. I voted for council member Jurado because I believed she cared about improving the environment in CD-14, never did I imagine that threats posted on Social media by bullies would result in her supporting the very people threatening her. This is not the action a Council member should take, how would any of the members of this body govern if every time you received threats on Social media you folded? The group opposing these project also opposes Council Member Hernandez's Bowtie project, BRT from NoHo to Pasadena, Metro project in East L.A. and a whole host of other important projects. Most of you understand the importance of these state Mandated projects as you have Measure W projects in your districts. Lastly Heal the Bay's river report card came out this year the Arroyo received an F! I urge you all to vote against the ratification of this egregious motion.

below 0.5 ppt. It also includes wetlands lacking such vegetation, but with all of the following four characteristics: (1) area less than 8 ha (20 acres); (2) active wave-formed or bedrock shoreline features lacking; (3) water depth in the deepest part of basin less than 2.5 m (8.2 ft) at low water; and (4) salinity due to ocean-derived salts less than 0.5 ppt.

**Class Forested (FO)** : Characterized by woody vegetation that is 6 m tall or taller.

**Water Regime Seasonally Flooded (C)** : Surface water is present for extended periods especially early in the growing season, but is absent by the end of the growing season in most years. The water table after flooding ceases is variable, extending from saturated to the surface to a water table well below the ground surface.

**Special Modifier Diked/Impounded (h)** : These wetlands have been created or modified by a man-made barrier or dam that obstructs the inflow or outflow of water.

**For more information on wetland classification codes click [here](#).**

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Daniel Haworth

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:05 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** AGENDA ITEM #28 I strongly support the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. I am a community member who has lived in Highland Park since 2002, currently in Garvanza, and use the Arroyo multiple times a week. It is long time to clean & potentially use SOME of the runoff water that runs through the arroyo constantly. I have been to multiple community meetings regarding this project, and have experienced numerous times irrational and frankly misleading oppositional opinions by a group that seems to fear & fight ANY type of improvements to anything in their community, which they view as gentrification of some kind. The fear I can empathize with, the fight is a closed-minded and unsustainable stubbornness. Their campaign of disinformation has unfortunately garnered substantial backing by a misled public vulnerable to attacks of this kind, in this age of exploitative disinformation. The FACT is that NO part of either project are in San Pascual Park & in actuality 1/2 of San Pascual park is owned by the City of South Pasadena. Please consider this project based on its actual facts, and do what you can to explain to the public these facts, so they can see for themselves the benefits of this project and not be misled by those who exploiting the generic narrative of "change of any kind = gentrification". Thank you!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** James C

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:09 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** “Please support Councilmember Jurado’s Resolution opposing Pasadena/South Pasadena’s Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. I oppose the use of half an acre of San Pascual Parkland in perpetuity by this project.”

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Brian Smee

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:14 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** We have to protect San Pascual Park and the Arroyo Seco as it's one of the few remaining large walking paths left in Los Angeles and Pasadena. The ecological damage posed by this water reuse project would also pose a major threat to the wildlife in this region with the amount of trees leveled and the pollution generated by the fulfillment of this project. And the land's connection to the Kizh nation, have they been consulted? Save this park and this stretch of the Arroyo Seco whose character is one of a kind. Golf courses are for those that can afford it, parks are for everyone.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Cynthia

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 10:56 PM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a local member of the community, I fully support this resolution to save San Pascual Park. Please abandon the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Alexandra Spalding

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:18 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** I support this resolution to save San Pasqual Park. Please abandon the Arroyo Secco water reuse project.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Arturo Aldaco

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:22 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** We respectfully request a brief written comment from each of you before 10:00 a.m. today regarding the proposed resolution for the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation, the Cities of Pasadena and South Pasadena, and the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. We respectfully request that the resolution be revised to acknowledge the importance of including additional Tribal Nations and organizations with cultural, ancestral, and historical connections to the Arroyo Seco and Hahamonga region. Meaningful Tribal consultation requires the recognition and participation of all appropriate tribes with ties to these ancestral lands and cultural resources. While we recognize and respect the longstanding stewardship, consultation history, and involvement of the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation, we believe that the resolution should reflect a more inclusive approach by ensuring that other tribes with legitimate cultural affiliations and interests are also recognized and afforded the opportunity to participate. Your prompt response is greatly appreciated and will assist in demonstrating the need for inclusive Tribal consultation and the protection of these ancestral lands and cultural resources. Respectfully, Arturo Aldaco Archaeologist from Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Alexander Turetskiy

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:38 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** As an archeologist I believe that having the resolution stay in its current form is the best way forward. Kizh Nation has the legitimate right to claim the area as their ancestral home and no other organizations or tribes need to be included, I believe.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Samuel soto

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:43 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please leave resolution in its current form

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Zita Hamada

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:48 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** We respectfully request a brief written comment from each of you before 10:00 a.m. today regarding the proposed resolution for the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation, the Cities of Pasadena and South Pasadena, and the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. Please indicate your support for the resolution remaining in its current form and respectfully request that no additional tribes or organizations be included. The resolution recognizes the deep historical, cultural, and ancestral connection of the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation to the Arroyo Seco and Hahamonga region. Maintaining the recognition of Kizh Nation’s history in this area is essential to preserving the cultural identity, traditional knowledge, and heritage associated with these ancestral lands. The continued conservation and preservation of these lands and cultural resources are vital to honoring the legacy of the Kizh people and ensuring that future generations can learn from and appreciate the historical significance of this region. The involvement of Kizh Nation Tribal Monitors reflects a commitment to protecting these resources through responsible stewardship, cultural preservation, and respect for the ancestral landscape. We believe the resolution should remain as drafted to accurately recognize and preserve the longstanding relationship between the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation and these important cultural landscapes. Your prompt response is greatly appreciated and will assist us in demonstrating support for the continued protection, conservation, and preservation of these ancestral lands and cultural resources. Respectfully, Zita Hamada  
Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Linnea Wccleston-Banwer

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 09:59 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** This proposal is ridiculous. In an area already severely lacking in natural waterways the cities are trying to destroy precious natural environments that are sustained by the arroyo seco watershed as it exists now. The indigenous inhabitants, the Kizh Nation, haven't even been consulted on this issue and have issued their vociferous dissent. Stop trying to ruin our home in the name of golf.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Rogelio Cano

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 10:11 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** I support that the resolution remains the same in it's current form.  
and respectfully request that no other tribes are included.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Gigi Villalobos

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 10:23 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear office of the City Clerk, My family and I strongly urge you to preserve our neighborhood park. It is much more than a piece of land, it's the heart of the Garvanza community. The park provides a safe place for children to play, families to gather and celebrate special occasions, seniors to exercise safely and for neighbors to connect. In a time when green spaces are becoming increasingly rare, removing this park would take away an essential resource that supports physical health, mental well-being, and community spirit. In addition, San Pascual Park gives residents of all ages access to nature without having to travel far from home. For many families, especially those without yards or easy access to transportation, this park is their only outdoor recreational space. Once a park space is lost, it is nearly impossible to replace. My child, my future grandchildren and future generations deserve the same opportunity to enjoy safe, beautiful, and welcoming spaces. Rather than eliminating this valuable community asset, I encourage the city to invest in maintaining and improving it. Please choose to protect this park. Preserving green spaces is an investment in the health, safety, and quality of life of everyone who calls this neighborhood home.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Aaron

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 10:57 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** Good morning, This is not a routine decision. This is a line we either hold or abandon. We stand here to decide the fate of a resolution for the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians Kizh Nation and the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. But understand this clearly: this is not about paperwork. It is about truth. For generations, the Gabrieleño Band has carried this land, not in name, but in duty. In sacrifice. In an unbroken bond that predates every structure we stand in today. And now, that truth stands at risk of being diluted. To add others into this resolution is not unity, it is compromise. It weakens what must remain absolute. It takes something precise and makes it uncertain. We cannot allow that. If we falter here, then everything this resolution stands for begins to crumble. So I ask you: will we stand firm, or will we be the ones who let clarity slip into obscurity? Our path is simple. Not easy, but clear. We hold this line. We protect the integrity of this resolution. We recognize the Gabrieleño Band for what they are, the rightful stewards of this land.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Nicholas Villalobos

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 11:05 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear office of the City Clerk, My family and I strongly urge you to preserve our neighborhood park. It is much more than a piece of land, it's the heart of the Garvanza community. The park provides a safe place for children to play, families to gather and celebrate special occasions, seniors to exercise safely and for neighbors to connect. In a time when green spaces are becoming increasingly rare, removing this park would take away an essential resource that supports physical health, mental well-being, and community spirit. In addition, San Pascual Park gives residents of all ages access to nature without having to travel far from home. For many families, especially those without yards or easy access to transportation, this park is their only outdoor recreational space. Once a park space is lost, it is nearly impossible to replace. My child, my future grandchildren and future generations deserve the same opportunity to enjoy safe, beautiful, and welcoming spaces. Rather than eliminating this valuable community asset, I encourage the city to invest in maintaining and improving it. Please choose to protect this park. Preserving green spaces is an investment in the health, safety, and quality of life of everyone who calls this neighborhood home.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Scott Rubel

**Date Submitted:** 06/26/2026 11:47 AM

**Council File No:** 26-0777

**Comments for Public Posting:** Take proper responsibility for the natural areas we have remaining. Public officials should actually be MORE educated than the public, but instead you apparently need all this input for us to have any hope of responsibility.