

Communication from Public

Name: Arturo Aldaco

Date Submitted: 06/27/2026 05:45 PM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: Someone attempted to impersonate my information and sent a false message. Here is my statement below: We respectfully request that the resolution be solely with Kizh Nation due to their cultural, ancestral, and historical connections to the Arroyo Seco and Hahamonga region. Meaningful Tribal consultation requires the recognition and participation of all appropriate tribes with ties to these ancestral lands and cultural resources. While we recognize and respect the longstanding stewardship, consultation history, and of the Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation, we believe that the resolution should ensure that Kizh is only tribe with legitimate cultural affiliations and interests are also recognized and afforded the opportunity to participate. Your prompt response is greatly appreciated and will assist in demonstrating the need for inclusive Tribal consultation and the protection of these ancestral lands and cultural resources. Leave the resolution in current form. Respectfully, Arturo Aldaco
Archaeologist from Gabrieleño Band of Mission Indians–Kizh Nation

Communication from Public

Name: Sonia

Date Submitted: 06/28/2026 09:47 AM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: This park is a green space that serves for people to be in nature for their mental health and also helps clean the air from the 110 freeway. This space is green space equity. This park is a habitat for many animals and insects and you will displace many animals. I enjoy this park.

Communication from Public

Name: Mishna Erana Hernandez

Date Submitted: 06/28/2026 09:56 AM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: I am in favor of the resolution proposed by Councilmember Jurado in opposition of the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. As someone who lives in near proximity to the proposed site of the project, I appreciate that my council member has chosen to listen to her constituents' concerns. Having lived here for more than fifteen years, I have noted various species of birds, trees, and other animals the site supports. Many of them frequent our front yard; some even becoming a fixture. In addition, the trees on the proposed site are among those that help with carbon capture and soil erosion control. The importance of the former service is underscored by the recent Eaton and Lineage fires. Planting new trees — as the current proposal outlines will be their solution to the removal of over a hundred trees — doesn't take into account our current climate reality. We simply don't have time to wait thirty years for tree canopies to grow and catch up with what we will be losing in the meantime. I urge the rest of the Council to listen to our voices and stand in solidarity to protect San Pascual Park, the wildlife it supports, and the ecosystem services it provides to us and future generations.

Communication from Public

Name: Mariana Gonzalez

Date Submitted: 06/28/2026 01:29 PM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, I support the resolution to save San Pascual Park. It's a very important beautiful place in our community for people to gather, play, walk. I strongly oppose the Arroyo Seco Water reuse program.

Communication from Public

Name: Jack Smith

Date Submitted: 06/25/2026 06:28 PM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: Good morning Council members. Please support the resolution to Save San Pascual Park and abandon the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse project. The City needs to improve and expand upon existing parks space. Mature, healthy, native trees need to preserved. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Marguerite Dessornes

Date Submitted: 06/28/2026 03:16 PM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: Stop the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project! This project would remove over 130 trees and destroy existing habitat for a system that the City's own analysis shows may not function as intended due to shallow bedrock limiting infiltration. Shallow lined pools are a very poor substitute to a natural oasis of riparian woodland! Besides mature native trees removed, mature large native shrubs important to wildlife habitat will also be destroyed. Additionally, the Draft EIR appears incomplete and legally deficient due to the lack of required tribal consultation under AB 52. The Kizh Nation formally opposes this disastrous descrution. The project also fails to address upstream sources of contamination, raising concerns about its effectiveness and long-term impacts. Pollution concerns have not been addressed and 60% of the annual water will never return t the Arroyo Seco, depleting it. San Pascual Park is a critical public green space, especially for surrounding communities with limited park access. This project would cause irreversible harm to an already vulnerable environment. There is nothing positive about this destructive plan! I think the only "positive" is more water for the golf course! Disgusting! I urge the cities of Pasadena and South Pasadena to stay away from LA parkland and focus on protecting existing habitat and prioritizing true nature-based solutions.

Communication from Public

Name: Amy Ludwig

Date Submitted: 06/28/2026 03:27 PM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: I support Councilmember Jurado's resolution opposing the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project. I support the Kizh nation in opposing the project and in asking for full avoidance of this site. Do not allow the use of our San Pascual Park land for this project. SAVE SAN PASUAL PARK!

Communication from Public

Name: Constituent

Date Submitted: 06/28/2026 07:50 PM

Council File No: 26-0777

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to express my opposition to the Arroyo Seco Water Reuse Project from developing on LA parkland based on the environmental and community concerns outlined below. San Pascual Parkland sits on Los Angeles and South Pasadena lands, east side of the Arroyo Seco channel. It is a small Oak/Sycamore woodland mature forest, federally declared as a wetland. The area has been used for years for hiking, running, bird and wildlife watching, equestrian use, and local residents who sit by the water and enjoy the cool shade. It must remain a public park according to Los Angeles Charter 594c. • The Water Reuse Project will use San Pascual Park land to build a water treatment, diversion, storage and industrial system that will capture 174 million gallons of water a year. Only 40% of that water will be returned to the Arroyo Seco. 20 million gallons will be diverted to the South Pasadena golf course and another 85 million gallons of water will be captured for South Pasadena's use. This will impact the flow of the Arroyo Seco, especially in dry years and will devastate local ecosystems. Los Angeles will not receive any water from this project. • I oppose giving away free cleaned water to a golf course. • The project is an industrial water capture project with a fenced, artificially designed pond that will not adequately infiltrate water. The Los Angeles portion will hold industrial equipment that will emit smells and noises and change the parkland designation. • The San Pascual Park land is a unique small forest with a diverse ecosystem. The Project will remove over 136 mature trees, literally destroying this habitat and its stream, exacerbating the pollution, noise, heat, and wildlife disruption. The whole neighborhood and valley will be greatly impacted, especially during this time of climate crisis. It will take 20-50 years to recapture the current forest's benefits of curbing the pollution, heat and noise from the 110 Freeway. • Pasadena must comply with measure W requirement, to do no harm to the environment. This project will irreversibly destroy a functioning habitat. • The environmental impacts of this project are significant. They were dismissed in Pasadena's Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) document and are still dismissed in the Environmental Impact Report released (EIR). • The historical importance of this area cannot be understated. It is a known sacred burial site of the Gabrieleno Kizh tribe and of significant

importance. They were not consulted in the initial planning of this project. Chief Andy Salas has objected to this project and demanded complete avoidance of the area to ensure protection of their history and heritage. • The outreach to the community and city councils was poor to non-existent resulting in project moving forward despite strong community objection and thousands of letters and signatures. • The community demands this project is stopped and that water cleanup happens at the the greatest pollution sources at the Annandale and Brookside Golf Courses, The Rose Bowl and South Pasadena Golf Course. Based on the significant, far-reaching, and negative implications of this project, I am opposed to it. I want this area to be restored and maintained, not destroyed.