



26-4118-S5_pc_05-18-2026

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, May 19, 2026 at 8:36 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Bella Gonzalez <thebellakitti@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 23:55:15 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Kristen Parks <kristendotparks@gmail.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org" <keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "mashaël.majid@lacity.org" <mashaël.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, "Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>

Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 23:53:15 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment). Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces. Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results. LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities. This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space. The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*. At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model,

not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy. The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure. Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement. Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions. Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mayra Chavolla <mchavolla@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 23:15:37 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Sincerely,
Mayra Chavolla

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Elaine Lasnik-Broida <lasnikbroida@gmail.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org" <keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "masha.el.majid@lacity.org" <masha.el.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, "Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>

Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 23:13:00 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment). Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces. Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results. LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities. This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space. The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*. At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the

treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy. The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure. Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement. Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions. Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Carrie Burckle <carriiburckle@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 22:32:28 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

I am a Los Angeles resident and I am not in favor of Council File 26-4118-S5. We need to provide assistance to the unhoused and not simply move them to another zone.

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should

be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Carrie Burckle
artist, curator
carrieburckle@gmail.com
www.carrieburckle.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Francisco Espinosa <francisco.1.espinosa@gmail.com>
To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, Lyric Kelkar <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, [Councilmember Bob Blumenfield CD3 <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>](mailto:Councilmember.Bob.Blumenfield@lacity.org), keith.banks@lacity.org, "Councilmember Nithya Raman (CD4)" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, "Councilmember Monica Rodriguez (CD7)" <councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org>, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, "Council Member Marqueece Harris-Dawson (CD8)" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "Councilmember Curren Price (CD9)" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, Councilmember CD10 <cd10@lacity.org>, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, Sachin Medhekar <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, "Councilmember John Lee (CD12)" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>
Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org
Bcc:
Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 00:14:44 -0400
Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6
City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Francisco Espinosa

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Kevin <kchavez136@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 21:33:07 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Bills Costello <bills.costello@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 21:10:33 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates

exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Enviado desde mi iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mary Blakey <marybethblakey@icloud.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 21:01:40 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los

Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Sarajo Frieden <sarajo@sarajofrieden.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org" <keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "mashaël.majid@lacity.org" <mashaël.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, "Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>
Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 21:05:19 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

www.sarajofrieden.net www.sarajofrieden.com sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Faith Myhra <famyhra@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, masha.el.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, Sachin Medhekar

<sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org,
councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org
Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 21:00:52 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Faith Myhra

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Justina Huddleston <justinahuddleston@gmail.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>,

"councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org" <keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "mashaem.majid@lacity.org" <mashaem.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, "Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>
Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 20:57:11 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

I live in Panorama City, and I see people struggling with houselessness and poverty every day. Criminalizing their existence will NOT help them survive.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation,

tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Justina Huddleston
Panorama City

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Violet Carne <violetcarne@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 20:20:05 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Violet Carne
90006

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Isabella Duan <isabella_duan@yahoo.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org" <keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "masha.el.majid@lacity.org" <masha.el.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, "Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>

Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 03:28:50 +0000 (UTC)

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services.

Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mike Hennessy <mikethennessy@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashaël.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriiguez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 20:20:28 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces. Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results. LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities. This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space. The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*. At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy. The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure. Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement. Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions. Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Best,

Mike Hennessy

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Rachel Sanoff <rachelsanoff@gmail.com>

To: Councilmember Hernandez <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, Councilmember Blumenfield <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, Keith Banks <keith.banks@lacity.org>, contactCD4@lacity.org, Mashaël Majid <mashaël.majid@lacity.org>, Councilmember Yaroslavsky <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriiguez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, Councilmember Harris-Dawson <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, Councilmember Jurado <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, Steve Diaz <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, Councilmember McOsker <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, Anissa Raja <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 20:17:28 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Rachel Sanoff

----- Forwarded message -----

From: cartermoon719@gmail.com

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 20:13:27 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already

raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Carter Moon

Sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "Monica Costello (Demonicastella)" <demonicastella@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Mon, 18 May 2026 19:53:54 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation

operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.









Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.
























Sent from my iPhone

...

[Message clipped] [View entire message](#)

31 attachments

-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
16K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
16K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K

-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
18K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
16K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
18K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
16K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
19K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
16K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
19K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
16K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
21K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K