



26-4118-S5_pc_05-19-2026

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Wed, May 20, 2026 at 1:17 PM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nicole Barcega <nmbarcega@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 16:28:05 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am a family and addiction medicine physician who works with unhoused patients in the hospital, in outpatient clinics, and directly on the streets.

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles,

the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Barrie Levy <barrie.levy.bly@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 16:27:10 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Thank you,
Barrie Levy

Sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Lucy Petrow <lucypetrow@gmail.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org" <keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "mashael.majid@lacity.org" <mashael.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, "Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>
Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 14:10:27 -0600

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results. LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities. This

proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action.

Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space. The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*. At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy. The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure. Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions. Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Lucy C. Petrow
203-253-4191
lucypetrow@gmail.com

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged.

Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete any version, response or reference to it.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Sue Andres-Brown <suemarieandres@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 12:38:45 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys

survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Sue Andres-Brown

424-332-8530

suemarieandres@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Jessye Bautista <jessyebautista@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 11:59:30 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Lisa Redmond <lisaredmond@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, masha.el.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 09:31:29 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with

a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Lisa Redmond

----- Forwarded message -----

From: CD11 Coalition For Human Rights <cd11forhumanrights@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org
Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 08:48:45 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the 41.18 Resolution for CD6

Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

If you vote yes on agenda item 16 at today's LACity Council Meeting you might as well just report to LAPD Headquarters for work and, while you are there, pick up your paychecks. They have the funding and its where you belong anyway if you vote yes.

Otherwise vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Katherine Tattersfield <katherine.tattersfield@gmail.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org" <keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "mashael.majid@lacity.org" <mashael.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>,

"Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>
Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>
Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 09:22:35 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Thank you,
Katherine Tattersfield
CD2

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Chris Tilly <chris.tillyuml@gmail.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org" <keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "masha.el.majid@lacity.org" <masha.el.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, "Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>
Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 08:23:55 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment,

scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Julio Rodriguez <rjulio007@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, Councilwoman Monica Rodriguez <councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org>, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 08:12:37 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Julio (he/him)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: susan millmann <millmannsusan@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 07:20:08 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs,

medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

susan millmann
50-year Venice resident

Sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Glenn Robinson <glenn.m.robinson@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 06:50:24 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Tera Gabriel <penelopeprius@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, masha.el.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 05:42:08 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as a stand-alone written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5 (not with a series of such emails as a single attachment).

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and

into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5.

Sent from my iPhone

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Crissi Avila <crissiann@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 06:44:21 -0700

Subject: Vote NO on the expansion of 41.18 zones in CD6

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

I am writing to urge you to vote NO on Council File 26-4118-S5 and reject Councilmember Imelda Padilla's proposal to create 26 new LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones throughout Council District 6. The motion, seconded by Councilmember Traci Park, would dramatically expand anti-camping enforcement across parks, underpasses, washes, recreation centers, rail corridors, and other public spaces.

Sweeping unhoused Angelenos from one block to another does not solve homelessness. It destabilizes people, destroys survival belongings, disrupts access to outreach workers and medical care, and makes it even harder for people to obtain housing and services. Decades of sweeps, displacement, and criminalization have already proven to be ineffective. Los Angeles cannot keep doing the same thing and expect different results.

LAMC 41.18 does not create housing, shelter, sanitation, treatment, mental health care, or safe parking. It creates exclusion zones. It expands policing and displacement while consuming enormous public resources through sanitation

operations, law enforcement, legal settlements, and repeated sweeps that merely push vulnerable people out of sight and into neighboring communities.

This proposal is especially alarming because many of these designations appear to fall under sections of 41.18 that are effectively permanent unless repealed by future Council action. Community members reviewing the motion have already raised concerns that these zones could remain in place indefinitely, normalizing the permanent exclusion of unhoused residents from large areas of public space.

The human consequences are severe. Sweeps connected to 41.18 enforcement routinely result in the destruction of IDs, medications, paperwork, tents, phones, wheelchairs, food, and survival supplies. These policies disproportionately harm Black, Brown, Indigenous, immigrant, disabled, and low-income Angelenos while deepening instability and trauma. Los Angeles has already faced major legal challenges over these practices, including *Lavan v. City of Los Angeles* and *Garcia v. City of Los Angeles*.

At a time when ICE raids, militarized policing, and aggressive displacement are already escalating across Los Angeles, the continued expansion of 41.18 reflects a broader and deeply troubling politics of removal and disappearance. Trumpism is not just a national figure or party label. It is a governing philosophy rooted in exclusion, punishment, scapegoating, and the treatment of vulnerable people as problems to be swept away from public life. Los Angeles should be rejecting that model, not reproducing it locally through exclusion zones and enforcement-first homelessness policy.

The City continues expanding criminalization despite the fact that Los Angeles still lacks adequate shelter and housing capacity. Criminalizing people for existing in public when the City has failed to provide housing is not public safety. It is policy failure.

Meanwhile, over 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. The response to this crisis should be deeply affordable housing, rental assistance, mental health care, sanitation, tenant protections, and non-police crisis response systems—not the expansion of exclusion zones backed by police enforcement.

Homelessness is a housing and inequality crisis, not a criminal issue. Los Angeles cannot police its way out of decades of rising rents, displacement, austerity, and abandonment. The City must decide whether it will continue investing in punishment and optics or build a future rooted in housing, dignity, solidarity, and actual solutions.

Please vote NO on CF 26-4118-S5

Sincerely,

Crissi Avila
crissiann@gmail.com
<https://www.linkedin.com/in/crissiavila>

14 attachments

-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
19K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
16K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K
-  **Vote NO on the 41.18 Resolution for CD6.eml**
16K
-  **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K

 **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
17K

 **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
16K

 **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K

 **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K

 **Vote NO on the Expansion of 41.18 Zones in CD6.eml**
11K

 **Vote NO on the expansion of 41.18 zones in CD6.eml**
17K



26-4118-S5_pc_05-19-2026

1 message

City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Wed, May 20, 2026 at 1:18 PM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Violet Carne <violetcarne@gmail.com>

To: councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org, lyric.kelkar@lacity.org, Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, keith.banks@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mashael.majid@lacity.org, councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org, Andrew.deblock@lacity.org, councilmember.padilla@lacity.org, lamont.cobb@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, marie.rumsey@lacity.org, sean.starkey@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, andrew.westall@lacity.org, councilmember.park@lacity.org, councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org, sachin.medhekar@lacity.org, councilmember.jurado@lacity.org, steve.diaz@lacity.org, councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org, Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org, anissa.raja@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Cc: clerk.cps@lacity.org

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 20:10:58 -0700

Subject: Stop Choosing Optics Over Human Lives

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

You voted 11-4 to adopt Council File 26-4118-S5 and vastly expand LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones across Imelda Padilla's district. Councilmembers Bob Blumenfield, Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Heather Hutt, John Lee, Tim McOsker, Adrin Nazarian, Imelda Padilla, Traci Park, Curren Price, Monica Rodriguez, and Katy Yaroslavsky voted YES. Councilmembers Eunisses Hernandez, Ysabel Jurado, Nithya Raman, and Hugo Soto-Martínez voted NO.

The members who voted YES chose to expand a failed system that destroys survival belongings, destabilizes access to outreach and medical care, consumes enormous public resources, and pushes vulnerable Angelenos from one neighborhood to another without creating a single unit of housing.

LAMC 41.18 does not build affordable housing. It does not provide treatment. It does not provide shelter capacity sufficient to meet existing need. It does not address rising rents, displacement, austerity, or the structural failures driving homelessness.

It creates exclusion zones.

More than 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. Los Angeles remains in a deep housing crisis. Yet Council continues investing political energy and taxpayer dollars into policies designed to move suffering out of public view.

History will remember who voted to expand criminalization instead of solutions.

Angelenos deserve housing, dignity, and care. You fail them time and time again.

Violet Carne
90006

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mar Vista Voice <marvistavoice@gmail.com>

To: "councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org" <councilmember.hernandez@lacity.org>, "lyric.kelkar@lacity.org" <lyric.kelkar@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Nazarian@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "keith.banks@lacity.org"

<keith.banks@lacity.org>, "contactCD4@lacity.org" <contactCD4@lacity.org>, "mashaël.majid@lacity.org" <mashaël.majid@lacity.org>, "councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org" <councilmember.yaroslavsky@lacity.org>, "Andrew.deblock@lacity.org" <Andrew.deblock@lacity.org>, "councilmember.padilla@lacity.org" <councilmember.padilla@lacity.org>, "lamont.cobb@lacity.org" <lamont.cobb@lacity.org>, "councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org" <councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org>, "marie.rumsey@lacity.org" <marie.rumsey@lacity.org>, "sean.starkey@lacity.org" <sean.starkey@lacity.org>, "councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org" <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "cd10@lacity.org" <cd10@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "councilmember.park@lacity.org" <councilmember.park@lacity.org>, "councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.soto-martinez@lacity.org>, "sachin.medhekar@lacity.org" <sachin.medhekar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.jurado@lacity.org" <councilmember.jurado@lacity.org>, "steve.diaz@lacity.org" <steve.diaz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org" <councilmember.mcosker@lacity.org>, "Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org" <Pamela.Thornton@lacity.org>, "anissa.raja@lacity.org" <anissa.raja@lacity.org>, "councilmember.Lee@lacity.org" <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>
Cc: "clerk.cps@lacity.org" <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Bcc:

Date: Tue, 19 May 2026 19:43:59 -0700

Subject: Stop Choosing Optics Over Human Lives

City Clerk: Please attach the following letter as written public comment to Council File 26-4118-S5

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

You voted 11-4 to adopt Council File 26-4118-S5 and vastly expand LAMC 41.18 enforcement zones across Imelda Padilla's district. Councilmembers Bob Blumenfield, Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Heather Hutt, John Lee, Tim McOsker, Adrin Nazarian, Imelda Padilla, Traci Park, Curren Price, Monica Rodriguez, and Katy Yaroslavsky voted YES. Councilmembers Eunisses Hernandez, Ysabel Jurado, Nithya Raman, and Hugo Soto-Martinez voted NO.

The members who voted YES chose to expand a failed system that destroys survival belongings, destabilizes access to outreach and medical care, consumes enormous public resources, and pushes vulnerable Angelenos from one neighborhood to another without creating a single unit of housing.

LAMC 41.18 does not build affordable housing. It does not provide treatment. It does not provide shelter capacity sufficient to meet existing need. It does not address rising rents, displacement, austerity, or the structural failures driving homelessness.

It creates exclusion zones.

More than 60,000 K-12 students in Los Angeles County experienced homelessness during the 2023-2024 school year. Los Angeles remains in a deep housing crisis. Yet Council continues investing political energy and taxpayer dollars into policies designed to move suffering out of public view.

History will remember who voted to expand criminalization instead of solutions.

Angelenos deserve housing, dignity, and care. You fail them time and time again.

2 attachments

 **Stop Choosing Optics Over Human Lives.eml**
12K

 **Stop Choosing Optics Over Human Lives.eml**
12K